arXiv:math-ph/0211050v1 21 Nov 2002

Ground State for Point Particles Interacting Through a Massless Scalar Bose Field

Masao Hirokawa[*], Fumio Hiroshima[†]and Herbert Spohn[‡]

Dedicated to
Hiroshi Ezawa, Elliott H. Lieb and Edward J. Nelson
on the occasion of their 70^{th} *birthdays.*

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We consider a massless scalar Bose field interacting with two particles, one of them infinitely heavy. Neither an infrared nor an ultraviolet cutoff is imposed. In case the charge of the particles is of the same sign and sufficiently small, we prove the existence of a ground state.

1 Introduction

In a famous paper [20] Nelson studies the Hamiltonian of N particles interacting through a massive scalar Bose field. He proves that the ultraviolet cutoff can be removed at the expense of an infinite energy renormalization. In the sequel some qualitative aspects of the non-cutoff Nelson Hamiltonian were investigated. Fröhlich [8] studies the energy-momentum relation for $N = 1$. Ammari [1] also assumes $N = 1$ and in addition supposes that the particle is confined by an external potential growing at least quadratically at infinity. He proves existence of a ground state and asymptotic completeness for the scattering of bosons from the bound particle. In our work we consider two particles, one of them is infinitely heavy and has charge eZ with $Z > 0$ the atomic number, and the other one has charge e. Following [20] the ultraviolet cutoff for the $N = 2$ Hamiltonian is removed. We also remove the infrared cutoff by taking the mass of the bosons to zero. As a byproduct the effective Coulomb interaction between the two particles becomes explicit. It is attractive for charges of equal sign. In other words, we study here a hydrogen-like atom, where the "electron" and "nucleus" interact through a scalar Bose field. Ultimately one would like to establish that the binding

---

[*] Department of Mathematics, Okayama University.

[†] Department of Mathematics and Physics, Setsunan University, 572-8508, Osaka, Japan.

[‡] Technische Universität München, Zentrum Mathematik, 85747, Garching, Germany.

energy of the atom is approximately still given by Balmer's formula $(e^2Z)^2 m_{\mathrm{eff}}/2(4\pi)^2$ plus small radiative correction of order e^6, where m_{eff} is the effective mass as determined through the energy-momentum relation, see [18] and the discussion in the last section. Here we make a first step by proving the existence of a ground state for e sufficiently small.

The infinitely heavy nucleus is nailed down at the origin. The other particle has bare mass m. Its position is denoted by x, momentum by $p = -i\nabla_x$. We set $\hbar = 1, c = 1$ throughout. The two particles are coupled through a massless scalar Bose field. In momentum representation the creation and annihilation operators of the field satisfy the standard CCR,

$$[a(k), a^*(k')] = \delta(k - k'),$$

$$[a(k), a(k')] = 0, \quad [a^*(k), a^*(k')] = 0, \quad k, k' \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The field energy is given by

$$H_{\mathrm{f}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega(k) a^*(k) a(k) d^3k$$

as an operator acting on the symmetric Fock space, $\mathcal{F}$, over $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, d^3k)$. For zero mass bosons the dispersion relation is given by

$$\omega(k) = |k|.$$

In position space the scalar Bose field is then defined through

$$\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega(k)}} \left(e^{ik\cdot x} a(k) + e^{-ik\cdot x} a^*(k) \right) d^3k.$$

When smoothened by a real test function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, the field is denoted by $\phi_\varphi(x) = \int \varphi(x - y)\phi(y) d^3y$. If $\int |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2/\omega(k) d^3k < \infty$, $\widehat{\varphi}$ the Fourier transform of φ, then $\phi_\varphi(x)$ is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{F}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

◇ To distinguish we use e for Napier's number, $\mathrm{e} = 2.718\cdots$, and the slanted e for the charge. According to the standard conventions the fine structure constant is $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$. ◇

With these conventions the cutoff Nelson Hamiltonian for our system reads

$$H_{\mathrm{N}} = \frac{1}{2m} p^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_{\mathrm{f}} + eZ\phi_\varphi(0) + e\phi_\varphi(x), \tag{1.1}$$

acting on

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathcal{F}.$$

In contrast to the more familiar Maxwell field, under forces transmitted by a scalar field, charges of *equal* sign attract. Hence $Z > 0$ is assumed. For the form factor φ we choose specifically

$$\widehat{\varphi}(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & |k| < \kappa, \\ (2\pi)^{-3/2}, & \kappa \le |k| \le \Lambda, \\ 0, & |k| > \Lambda, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

which means $\varphi(x) = \delta(x)$ in the limits $\kappa \to 0$, $\Lambda \to \infty$.

Proposition 1.1 *H_{N} is self-adjoint on the domain $D(p^2) \cap D(H_{\mathrm{f}})$ and bounded from below for arbitrary values of eZ.*

Proof: The interaction is infinitesimally small relative to the free Hamiltonian and the claim follows from the Theorem of Kato-Rellich. □

In spirit one would like to have $\varphi(x) = \delta(x)$ in (1.1). Unfortunately H_{N} is both infrared and ultraviolet divergent: As $\varphi(x) \to \delta(x)$, the anticipated ground state does not lie in Fock space and the ground state energy tends to $-\infty$. These divergences are of a mild nature however and can be unraveled through the unitary Gross transformation e^{-T} which is generated by

$$T = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e\widehat{\varphi}(k)}{\sqrt{2\omega(k)}} \left\{ \left(\beta(k) e^{ik\cdot x} + \frac{Z}{\omega(k)} \right) a(k) - \left(\beta(k) e^{-ik\cdot x} + \frac{Z}{\omega(k)} \right) a^*(k) \right\} d^3k \tag{1.3}$$

with $\beta(k) = \left(\omega(k) + |k|^2/2m\right)^{-1}$. As explained by Gross [11], the Gross transformation goes back to the intermediate coupling approximation by Tomonaga [25], who used it in a variational treatment of nuclear forces. It was picked up by Lee, Low, and Pines [15, 16, 17] and Gross [10] in the context of the ground state energy of the polaron problem, for which $\omega(k) = 1$, $\widehat{\varphi}(k) = 1/|k|$. In addition to e^{-T}, it is convenient to scale out the mass m through the unitary transformation $U_{[m]}$ defined by $U_{[m]}^* x U_{[m]} = m^{-1}x$, $U_{[m]}^* p U_{[m]} = mp$, and $U_{[m]}^* a(k) U_{[m]} = m^{-3/2} a\left(m^{-1}k\right)$. A more complete discussion of scale changes will be given in Section 2.

Here and in the following we mostly omit the tensor notation $\otimes$. We set $U = e^{-T}U_{[m]}$. Note that $\beta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then U maps $D(p^2) \cap D(H_{\mathrm{f}})$ onto itself with

$$U^* p U = m(p + eA_{\kappa\Lambda} + eA_{\kappa\Lambda}^*),$$

$$U^* x U = m^{-1}x,$$

$$U^* a(k) U = m^{-3/2} a\left(m^{-1}k\right) + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2\omega(k)}} \left(\beta(k) e^{-ik\cdot x/m} + \frac{Z}{\omega(k)} \right),$$

where

$$A_{\kappa\Lambda} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}_0(k)}{\sqrt{2\omega(k)}} k \beta_0(k) a(k) e^{ik\cdot x} d^3k \tag{1.4}$$

with $\widehat{\varphi}_0(k) = \widehat{\varphi}(mk)$ and

$$\beta_0(k) = \left(|k| + \frac{|k|^2}{2} \right)^{-1}. \tag{1.5}$$

Following [20] one obtains

$$m^{-1} \left\{ U^* H_{\mathrm{N}} U + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^2 |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2}{2\omega(k)} \left(\beta(k) + \frac{Z^2}{\omega(k)} \right) d^3k \right\} = H_{\kappa\Lambda} + V_{\kappa\Lambda},$$

where

$$H_{\kappa\Lambda} = H_{\text{at}} + H_{\text{f}} + e(p \cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda} + A^*_{\kappa\Lambda} \cdot p) + \frac{e^2}{2}\left(A^2_{\kappa\Lambda} + 2A^*_{\kappa\Lambda} \cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda} + A^{*2}_{\kappa\Lambda}\right), \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$H_{\text{at}} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + V_{\text{ex}}(x) \tag{1.7}$$

with the external Coulomb potential

$$V_{\text{ex}}(x) = -\frac{e^2 Z}{4\pi|x|}. \tag{1.8}$$

The Gross transformation generates in addition the correction potential

$$V_{\kappa\Lambda} = \frac{e^2 Z}{m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left((2\pi)^{-3} - |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2\right)\omega(k)^{-2} e^{ik\cdot x/m} d^3k.$$

In the form (1.6) we remove both cutoffs. The simple piece is the correction potential $V_{\kappa\Lambda}$. It satisfies $|V_{\kappa\Lambda}| \leq \mathit{const}|x|^{-1}$ and hence is relatively bounded with respect to H_{at}. We conclude that $\lim_{\kappa\to 0,\Lambda\to\infty} V_{\kappa\Lambda} = 0$ in the L^2-norm. The convergence of $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ is the content of

Theorem 1.2 *(removal of IR and UV cutoffs). There exist a strictly positive constant e_{UV} and self-adjoint operators $H_{\kappa\infty}$ and H such that for every e with $|e| < e_{\text{UV}}$*

(i) $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ converges to $H_{\kappa\infty}$ as $\Lambda \to \infty$ in the norm resolvent sense,

(i) $H_{\kappa\infty}$ converges to H as $\kappa \to 0$ in the norm resolvent sense.

Proof: The claim follows immediately from Proposition 3.3. □

The limit H can be defined only as quadratic form. It has the obvious form Hamiltonian

$$H = H_{\text{at}} + H_{\text{f}} + e\,(p \cdot A + A^* \cdot p) + \frac{e^2}{2}\left(A^2 + 2A^*A + A^2\right), \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$A = (2\pi)^{-3/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega(k)}} k\beta_0(k)a(k)e^{ik\cdot x}d^3k. \tag{1.10}$$

Remark. Note that at $\kappa = 0$ the transformation e^{-T} from (1.3) is no longer unitarily implemented and the Fock representation in (1.9) is disjoint from the one of (1.1). This point has been recently studied by Arai [2] and is also reflected in the structure of the corresponding functional measures [19].

As our main result we establish H to have a ground state in case $|e|Z > 0$ and $|e|$ sufficiently small.

Theorem 1.3 *(existence of a ground state). There exists a strictly positive constant* e_{IR} *such that for charge* e *with* $0 < |e| < \min\{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}\}$ *the Hamiltonian* H *in (1.9) has a ground state* ψ_{g}. *Let*

$$N_{\mathrm{f}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a^*(k)a(k)d^3k \tag{1.11}$$

be the number operator for the bosons. Then $\psi_{\mathrm{g}} \in D(N_{\mathrm{f}}{}^{1/2})$.

Remark. The smallness condition $|e| < \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}$ is needed to have H of Theorem 1.2 well-defined. It is an ultraviolet condition. The smallness condition $|e| < \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}$ comes from estimating the overlap of an approximating sequence of ground states with the Fock vacuum, which is limited through the infrared behavior. Whether the restriction on e is artifact of the proof is not understood at present. It is conceivable that the ground state is lost at strong coupling. Clearly, H has no ground state for $|e|Z \geq 0$.

The constant e_{UV} is fairly explicit and given by the unique positive solution of

$$C_{\mathrm{UV}}(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}) = 1, \tag{1.12}$$

where C_{UV} is given by

$$C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e) = \frac{2e}{\pi}\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^2 Z}{4\pi}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\left(14 + \sqrt{6}\,\pi\right)e^2. \tag{1.13}$$

Note that e_{UV} depends on Z, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}} \to c_1 > 0$ for $Z \to 0$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}} \cong c_2 Z^{-1/3}$ for $Z \to \infty$ with certain positive constants c_1 and c_2. e_{IR} is slightly more indirectly defined and discussed in Section 8.

The ground state issue for models of type (1.6) has been studied extensively in the past years. To put our result in perspective, we recall that the relevant parameters are κ, Λ, and the behavior of the external potential at infinity, $V_{\mathrm{ex}}(x) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^\gamma)$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Here we study the case $\kappa = 0$, $\Lambda = \infty$, and $\gamma = -1$, since, by the definition of the Nelson Hamiltonian, V_{ex} has to be the Coulomb potential. Ammari [1] considers $\kappa > 0$, $\Lambda = \infty$, $\gamma \geq 2$. Arai [2] and Lőrinczi et al. [19] allow for $\kappa = 0$, $\Lambda < \infty$, $\gamma \geq 2$. Arai has no restriction on the magnitude of e, since in his case the resolvent of H_{at} is compact. Bach *et al.* [5] have $\kappa = 0$, $\Lambda < \infty$, $\gamma \geq 0$. In their work there is a restriction on the size of $|e|$. This condition is removed in the beautiful work of Griesemer, Lieb, and Loss [9] who require $\kappa = 0$, $\Lambda < \infty$, $\gamma \leq 0$. They also provide an extensive bibliography on earlier work which is mostly concerned with $\kappa > 0$, $\Lambda < \infty$.

Our basic strategy to prove Theorem 1.3 has been used before. $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ has a unique ground state $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$. If $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ converges to a non-zero limit vector ψ_{g} as $\kappa \to 0$, $\Lambda \to \infty$, then ψ_{g} is a ground state of H. To make sure that $\psi_{\mathrm{g}} \neq 0$, one estimates its overlap with $\psi_{\mathrm{at}} \otimes \Omega$,

ψ_{at} the ground state of H_{at} and Ω the Fock vacuum, from which one immediate difficulty becomes apparent: As $e \to 0$, ψ_{at} delocalizes and the overlap is not so easily controlled. In this context, we emphasize that, in contrast to all previous work, the external potential V_{ex} is fixed by the theory and not at our disposal. In particular for $e \to 0$, both the coupling to the field and the strength of V_{ex} vanish. The overlap is controlled by a bound on the average photon number $\langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,, \, N_{\mathrm{f}} \psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ which in essence relies on the spatial localization in the form $\langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,, \, f(x) \psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ with suitable $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$. For the choice $f(x) = |x|$, the overlap estimate becomes poor as $e \to 0$. To improve we can only allow for a much slower increase of f, as $f(x) = \log(1 + |x|)$, thereby shifting the problem to the soft photon bound, i.e., to a bound on $\int_{|k|\le 1} \langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,, \, a^*(k) a(k) \psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \rangle d^3k$, for which we develop a novel and rather powerful iteration scheme. In comparison, the ultraviolet cutoff causes less difficulties, except for the fact that H is defined only as quadratic form, which means that the resolvent of $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ cannot be controlled through norm estimates. This difficulty "propagates" to the infrared regime, where standard estimation techniques can no longer be used.

To give a brief summary: The removal of cutoffs and the existence of H are studied in Section 3. Section 4 deals with the binding energy and the existence of the cutoff ground state $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$, for which spatial localization is established in Section 5. The average photon number bound is divided into $\{|k| \ge 1\}$, hard photons, and $\{|k| < 1\}$, soft photons (Sections 6 and 7). Both estimates together yield a bound on the overlap with the decoupled ground state for $0 < |e| < \min\{e_{\mathrm{IR}}, e_{\mathrm{UV}}\}$, Section 8, from which the main theorem then easily follows. In Section 2 we collect a few properties for scale changes which will be useful throughout. We conclude with some open problems in Section 10.

2 Change of scale

The Hamiltonian H and its cutoff $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ are written in relativistic units. Since the coupling e enters into both the strength of the Coulomb potential and the particle-field interaction, for small e the ground state is spatially delocalized and it seems more natural to transform H to atomic units, which will be implemented through a change of spatial scale by the factor

$$r(\tau) = \varrho^{\tau}, \qquad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \varrho > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

The free parameter ϱ will be used to optimize our bounds. It will be convenient to set

$$\varrho = \alpha Z \lambda_1 \tag{2.2}$$

with a constant λ_1 and the fine structure constant.

Remark. Relativistic units correspond to $\tau = 0$. On the other hand, the standard atomic units are $r = \alpha$, i.e., $\tau = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = 1/Z$.

For $\Psi = \left\{\Psi^{(0)}, \Psi^{(1)}, \Psi^{(2)}, \cdots, \Psi^{(n)}, \cdots, \right\} \in \mathcal{H}$, we set

$$(U_\tau \Psi)^{(n)}(x, k_1, \cdots, k_n) = \varrho^{3\tau/2} \varrho^{-3n\tau} \Psi^{(n)}\Big(\varrho^\tau x, \varrho^{-2\tau} k_1, \cdots, \varrho^{-2\tau} k_n\Big). \tag{2.3}$$

Then, $U_{\tau_1} U_{\tau_2} = U_{\tau_1+\tau_2}$, $U_0 = I$, and $x, p, a(k)$ transform as

$$U_\tau^* x U_\tau = \varrho^{-\tau} x, \;\; U_\tau^* p U_\tau = \varrho^\tau p, \tag{2.4}$$

$$U_\tau^* a(k) U_\tau = \varrho^{-3\tau} \; a(\varrho^{-2\tau} k). \tag{2.5}$$

As a consequence $A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}$ transforms to

$$A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = \varrho^{-2\tau} U_\tau^* A_{\kappa\Lambda} U_{1,\tau} \tag{2.6}$$

with

$$A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}_\tau(k)}{\sqrt{2|k|}} k \beta_\tau(k) a(k) e^{ir(\tau) k\cdot x} d^3k \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\widehat{\varphi}_\tau(k) = \widehat{\varphi}\Big(m\varrho^{2\tau} k\Big), \;\; \beta_\tau(k) = \Big(|k| + \varrho^{2\tau}|k|^2/2\Big)^{-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that $U_\tau^* N_{\mathrm{f}} U_\tau = N_{\mathrm{f}}$ as it should be.

Let us define the Hamiltonians $H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}$ through

$$H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = \varrho^{-2\tau} U_\tau^* H_{\kappa\Lambda} U_\tau. \tag{2.9}$$

Then we have

$$H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} + H_{\mathrm{f}} + H_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\tau)}, \tag{2.10}$$

where the atomic part is given by

$$H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - \frac{\alpha Z r(-\tau)}{|x|} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - \frac{r(1-\tau)}{\lambda_1 |x|} \tag{2.11}$$

with λ_1 of (2.2), and the interaction part by

$$H_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\tau)} = e\varrho^\tau (p \cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} + A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)*} \cdot p) + \frac{e^2 \varrho^{2\tau}}{2} \left(A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,2} + 2 A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)*} \cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} + A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)*2} \right). \tag{2.12}$$

For $H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}$ we set

$$E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = \inf \sigma \left(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} \right) = \varrho^{-2\tau} E_{\kappa\Lambda}. \tag{2.13}$$

$H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}$ has the ground state energy

$$E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} = \inf \sigma \left(H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} \right) = - \frac{(\alpha Z)^2 \varrho^{-2\tau}}{2} = - \frac{r(2-2\tau)}{2\lambda_1^2} \tag{2.14}$$

and the normalized ground state

$$\psi_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}(x) = \pi^{-1/2} (\alpha Z)^{3/2} \varrho^{-3\tau/2} e^{-\alpha Z r(-\tau)|x|}. \tag{2.15}$$

We set

$$H_0^{(\tau)} = H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} - E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} + H_{\mathrm{f}} \tag{2.16}$$

and for $\tau = 0$,

$$H_0 = H_0^{(0)}, \;\; H_{\mathrm{I}} = H_{\mathrm{I}}^{(0)}, \;\; E_{\kappa\Lambda} = E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(0)}; \;\; H_{\mathrm{at}} = H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(0)}, \;\; E_{\mathrm{at}} = E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(0)}, \;\; \psi_{\mathrm{at}} = \psi_{\mathrm{at}}^{(0)}.$$

3 Existence of the limit Hamiltonian

The free Hamiltonian $H_0^{(\tau)}$ defines the quadratic form

$$B_0^{(\tau)}(\phi,\psi)=\langle H_0^{(\tau)\,1/2}\phi\,,\,H_0^{(\tau)\,1/2}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $\phi,\psi\in D(H_0^{(\tau)\,1/2})$.

Lemma 3.1 *The quadratic form*

$$\begin{aligned}B_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}(\phi\,,\,\psi) \;&=\; e\varrho^{\tau}\langle p\phi\,,\,A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}+e\varrho^{\tau}\langle A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\phi\,,\,p\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &\quad+\frac{e^2\varrho^{2\tau}}{2}\Big(\langle\phi\,,\,A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,2}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}+2\langle A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\phi\,,\,A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}+\langle A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,2}\phi\,,\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

is well defined on $D(H_0^{(\tau)\,1/2})\times D(H_0^{(\tau)\,1/2})$ for all $\kappa\ge 0$ and $\Lambda\le\infty$. Moreover the bound

$$|B_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}(\phi,\phi)|\le C_*(e,\tau)\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\phi\|^2 \tag{3.2}$$

holds, where $C_*(e,\tau)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&C_*(e,\tau)\equiv C_*(\alpha,\tau)\\ &=\sqrt{6}\;\alpha\varrho^{-\tau}+4\alpha^{1/2}\sqrt{(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})/\pi}+\frac{2}{\pi}\alpha\Big(\varrho^{2\tau}+3\varrho^{\tau}+3\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof: As easy facts, for every $\psi\in D(H_0^{(\tau)\,1/2})$ one has

$$|\langle p\psi,A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|\le\|p(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi\|^2.$$

We set $C^{(\tau)}=1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&2C^{(\tau)}\left(H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}+1\right)-p^2\\ &=2\left(C^{(\tau)}-1\right)\left[\left\{\frac{1}{2}p^2-\left(\frac{C^{(\tau)}}{C^{(\tau)}-1}\right)\frac{r(1-\tau)}{\lambda_1|x|}\right\}+\frac{C^{(\tau)}}{C^{(\tau)}-1}\left(-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}+1\right)\right]\\ &\ge 2\left(C^{(\tau)}-1\right)\left[\left(\frac{C^{(\tau)}}{C^{(\tau)}-1}\right)^2E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}+\frac{C^{(\tau)}}{C^{(\tau)}-1}\left(-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}+1\right)\right]\\ &=\frac{2C^{(\tau)}}{C^{(\tau)}-1}\left(E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}+C^{(\tau)}-1\right)=0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|p(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|\le\sqrt{2(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})}. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, by sandwiching $(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}$ in between $A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}$ and applying Lemma 11.2 (i) of Appendix A, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\|A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|=\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\|\\ &\le\|A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\|=\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\|\\ &\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|k|+\frac{\varrho^{2\tau}}{2}|k|^2\right)^{-2}d^3k\right\}^{1/2}\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi}\varrho^{-\tau}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Thus

$$|\langle p\psi, A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \frac{\varrho^{-\tau}}{\pi}\sqrt{1-E_{\rm at}^{(\tau)}}\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to show that

$$|\langle A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\psi, p\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| = |\langle p\psi, A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|. \tag{3.7}$$

By putting $(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}$ in front of both ψ and using $H_{\rm f} \leq H_0^{(\tau)}$, it follows that

$$|\langle \psi, {A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^2\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \|(H_{\rm f}+1)^{-1/2}{A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^2(H_{\rm f}+1)^{-1/2}\| \; \|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi\|^2.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 11.2 (ii) of Appendix A, one has

$$\|(H_{\rm f}+1)^{-1/2}{A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^2(H_{\rm f}+1)^{-1/2}\| \leq \Xi(f^{A(\tau)}, f^{A(\tau)}), \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$f^{A(\tau)}(k) = \frac{\widehat{\varphi}_\tau(k)}{\sqrt{2|k|}}k\beta_\tau(k)e^{ir(2\tau)k\cdot x}. \tag{3.9}$$

$f^{A(\tau)}$ can be estimated as

$$\|f_{\rm IR}^{A(\tau)}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left\{\int_{|k|<1}|k|\left(|k|+\frac{\varrho^{2\tau}}{2}|k|^2\right)^{-2}d^3k\right\}^{1/2} < \frac{1}{2\pi}. \tag{3.10}$$

In the same way,

$$\|\omega^{-1/2}f_{\rm IR}^{A(\tau)}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left\{\int_{|k|<1}\left(|k|+\frac{\varrho^{2\tau}}{2}|k|^2\right)^{-2}d^3k\right\}^{1/2} < \frac{1}{2\pi}. \tag{3.11}$$

Also,

$$\|\omega^{-1/2}f_{\rm UV}^{A(\tau)}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left\{\int_{|k|\geq 1}\left(|k|+\frac{\varrho^{2\tau}}{2}|k|^2\right)^{-2}d^3k\right\}^{1/2} \leq \frac{\varrho^{-\tau}}{\sqrt{2}\pi}. \tag{3.12}$$

Moreover, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega^{-1/4}f_{\rm UV}^{A(\tau)}\|_{L^2} \;&\leq\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left\{\int_{|k|\geq 1}|k|^{1/2}\left(|k|+\frac{\varrho^{2\tau}}{2}|k|^2\right)^{-2}d^3k\right\}^{1/2}\\ &\leq\; \varrho^{-3\tau/2}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}+\frac{\varrho^{\tau}}{2\pi^2}\right)^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.8)-(3.13) one concludes that

$$\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}{A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^2(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}\varrho^{-\tau}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi^2}\varrho^{-2\tau}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}\varrho^{-3\tau}, \tag{3.14}$$

which implies

$$|\langle \psi, {A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^2\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi^2}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}\varrho^{-\tau}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi^2}\varrho^{-2\tau}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}\varrho^{-3\tau}\right)\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \tag{3.15}$$

By (3.5), one has

$$|\langle A^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\psi, A^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \le \|A^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda}(H^{(\tau)}_0+1)^{-1/2}\|^2\|(H^{(\tau)}_0+1)^{1/2}\psi\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \le \frac{\varrho^{-2\tau}}{2\pi^2}\|(H^{(\tau)}_0+1)^{1/2}\psi\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (3.16)$$

Lemma 3.1 follows from (3.6), (3.7), (3.15)-(3.16). □

Lemma 3.2 *Let* e *and* τ *be such that* $C_*(\mathrm{e},\tau) < 1$. *Then, for arbitrary* $\kappa, \Lambda, \epsilon$ *with* $0 < \epsilon$ *and* $0 < \kappa < \Lambda < \infty$

$$\|(H^{(\tau)}_0 + 1)^{1/2}(H^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} + \epsilon)^{-1/2}\| \le \frac{C_1(\mathrm{e},\tau)}{\min\left\{\sqrt{\epsilon},\ 1\right\}}, \quad (3.17)$$

where $C_1(\mathrm{e},\tau)$ *is defined by*

$$C_1(\mathrm{e},\tau) = \Big(1 - C_*(\mathrm{e},\tau)\Big)^{-1/2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof: Using (2.13) and (3.2), we have $H_0 \le C_1(\mathrm{e},\tau)^2 \times \Big(H^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} + C_*(\mathrm{e},\tau)\Big)$. Since we assume $C_*(\mathrm{e},\tau) < 1$, we have $H^{(\tau)}_0 + 1 \le C_1(\mathrm{e},\tau)^2\,(H^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} + C_*(\mathrm{e},\tau))$ and

$$\|(H^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} + 1)^{1/2}(H^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(\tau)}_{\kappa\Lambda} + \epsilon)^{-1/2}\| \le \begin{cases} \epsilon^{-1/2} & \text{if } \epsilon < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \epsilon \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

which is the assertion (3.17). □

In the case $\tau = 0$ let

$$B_{\kappa\Lambda}(\phi,\psi) = B^{(0)}_0(\phi,\psi) + B^{(0)}_{\kappa\Lambda}(\phi,\psi) = \langle\phi, H_{\kappa\Lambda}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Since $C_{\mathrm{UV}}(\mathrm{e}) = C_*(\mathrm{e}, 0)$, by (3.2) and the KLMN theorem (cf. [22, Theorem X. 17]), the second equality in (3.19) holds on the form domain $Q(H_{\kappa\Lambda}) = D({H_0}^{1/2})$, provided that $|\mathrm{e}| < \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}$.

Nelson [20] proves the following

Proposition 3.3 *Suppose* $|\mathrm{e}| < \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}$. *Then*

$$\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} B_{\kappa\Lambda}(\psi,\psi) = B_{\kappa\infty}(\psi,\psi), \quad \kappa > 0, \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\lim_{\kappa\to 0} B_{\kappa\infty}(\psi,\psi) = B(\psi,\psi) \quad (3.21)$$

uniformly on any set of ψ *in* $D({H_0}^{1/2})$ *for which* $\|{H_0}^{1/2}\psi\| + \|\psi\|$ *is bounded.*

In fact Nelson proves only (3.20). However, the claim (3.21) follows directly from Lemma 3.1.

An important consequence of (3.20) and (3.21) is the validity of Theorem 1.2. It follows from the KLMN theorem and [21, VIII.25], see also [1, Theorem A.1].

Remark. Clearly, by unitary equivalence, $H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}$ is well defined for all τ. However, if, for example, we had applied Lemma 3.1 directly to $H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(1)}$ with $\lambda_1 = 1/Z$, i.e., for standard atomic units, we would obtain a lower bound as $C_*(e, 1) \geq \sqrt{6} > 1$, and thus too large for the KLMN theorem.

4 Ground state energy and binding energy

We set

$$E_{\kappa\Lambda} = \inf \sigma(H_{\kappa\Lambda}). \tag{4.1}$$

Then

Lemma 4.1 *For every e with $|e| < e_{\mathrm{UV}}$ and arbitrary κ, Λ with $0 < \kappa < \Lambda < \infty$*

$$E_{\mathrm{at}} - 1 < E_{\mathrm{at}} - C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e) \leq E_{\kappa\Lambda} \leq E_{\mathrm{at}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof: The upper bound is variational with trial function $\psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x) \otimes \Omega$, where $\psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x)$ is the ground state for H_{at} and Ω is the Fock vacuum. For the lower bound, since $-H_I \leq C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e) H_0 + C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e)$ for $|e| < e_{\mathrm{UV}}$ by (3.2),

$$H_0 \leq \frac{1}{1 - C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e)} (H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\mathrm{at}}) + \frac{C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e)}{1 - C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Since $H_0 \geq 0$, it follows that

$$E_{\kappa\Lambda} \geq E_{\mathrm{at}} - C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e) \geq E_{\mathrm{at}} - C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e_{\mathrm{UV}}) > E_{\mathrm{at}} - 1 \tag{4.4}$$

using $C_{\mathrm{UV}}(e) < 1$ for $|e| < e_{\mathrm{UV}}$. □

The bounds (4.2), together with Theorem 1.2 yield

Lemma 4.2 *We set $E_{\kappa\infty} := \inf \sigma(H_{\kappa\infty})$ and $E_{\mathrm{g}} := \inf \sigma(H)$. Then, for every e with $|e| < e_{\mathrm{UV}}$*

$$\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} E_{\kappa\Lambda} = E_{\kappa\infty}, \ \ \lim_{\kappa\to 0} E_{\kappa\infty} = E_{\mathrm{g}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proposition 4.3 *For every e with $0 < |e| < e_{\mathrm{UV}}$ and arbitrary κ, Λ with $0 < \kappa < \Lambda < \infty$, $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ has a unique ground state $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(x^2) \cap D(H_{\mathrm{f}})$.*

Proof: The results in [5, 24] are applicable to $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ and establish existence. In fact, the results of [5, 24] should be applied to $H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}$ defined in (2.9) which equals $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ after a change of units such that e^2 is removed from the external potential. By unitary equivalence, this implies the existence of the ground state for $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$. Using the technique of [9], presumably, the result can be extended to all e, $e \neq 0$. In function space the semigroup $e^{-tH_{\mathrm{N}}}$, $t > 0$, is positivity improving [4], which implies uniqueness. Finally, it follows from Proposition 5.3 (ii) that $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(x^2)$. □

Let $E^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} = \inf \sigma\left(H^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda}\right)$ where the superscript means that in (1.6) the external potential V_{ex} is omitted. The (positive) binding energy is defined by

$$E^{\mathrm{bin}}_{\kappa\Lambda} = E^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}, \tag{4.6}$$

which is the difference in energy for the electron at infinity and in its ground state. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9], we show that $E^{\mathrm{bin}}_{\kappa\Lambda} > 0$. However, a slight modification is needed, since $H_{\kappa\Lambda}$ is normal ordered.

Proposition 4.4 *(strict positivity of binding energy). For every e with $0 < |e| < e_{\mathrm{UV}}$,*

$$E^{\mathrm{bin}}_{\kappa\Lambda} \geq -E_{\mathrm{at}}.$$

Proof: Let ψ_{at} be the ground state of H_{at}, $\psi_{\mathrm{at}} > 0$ and $\|\psi_{\mathrm{at}}\|_{L^2} = 1$. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a vector $F \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle F\,,\, H^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} F\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} < E^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} + \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F\,,\, \left\{H_{\kappa\Lambda} - \left(E^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} + \epsilon + E_{\mathrm{at}}\right)\right\} \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F\,,\, \psi_{\mathrm{at}} \left\{H^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} - \left(E^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} + \epsilon\right)\right\} F\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F\,,\, (H_{\mathrm{at}}\psi_{\mathrm{at}} - E_{\mathrm{at}}\psi_{\mathrm{at}})\, F\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\quad + \langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F\,,\, (p\psi_{\mathrm{at}}) \cdot \{(p + eA_{\kappa\Lambda} + eA^*_{\kappa\Lambda})\, F\}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The term

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F\,,\, (p\psi_{\mathrm{at}}) \cdot \{(eA_{\kappa\Lambda} + eA^*_{\kappa\Lambda})\, F\}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= -ie \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x)(\nabla\psi_{\mathrm{at}})(x)\langle F\,,\, (A_{\kappa\Lambda} + A^*_{\kappa\Lambda})\, F\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x) d^3x = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since it is purely imaginary and all other terms of (4.7) are real. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F\,,\, \left\{H_{\kappa\Lambda} - \left(E^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} + \epsilon + E_{\mathrm{at}}\right)\right\} \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{\langle F\,,\, H^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} F\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x) - \left(E^{V=0}_{\kappa\Lambda} + \epsilon\right) \|F\|^2_{\mathcal{F}}(x)\right\} \psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x)^2 d^3x \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle F\,,\, pF\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x)\psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x)(p\psi_{\mathrm{at}})(x) d^3x.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

By the translation invariance of $H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0}$, for arbitrary $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ there exists a translated vector $F_y \in \mathcal{H}$ so that $\langle F, H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} F\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \to \langle F_y, H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} F_y\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \langle F, H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} F\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x+y)$ and $\|F\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2(x) \to \|F_y\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2(x) = \|F\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2(x+y)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_y &= \langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F_y \,, \left\{ H_{\kappa\Lambda} - \left(E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} + \epsilon + E_{\mathrm{at}} \right) \right\} \psi_{\mathrm{at}} F_y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \langle F \,, H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} F\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x) - \left(E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} + \epsilon \right) \|F\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2(x) \right\} \psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x-y)^2 d^3x \\ &\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle F \,, pF\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x-y)(p\psi_{\mathrm{at}})(x-y) d^3x,\end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.8). Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Omega_y d^3y = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle F \,, \left\{ H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} - \left(E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} + \epsilon \right) \right\} F\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x-y)^2 d^3x d^3y,$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_{\mathrm{at}}(x-y)(p\psi_{\mathrm{at}})(x-y) d^3y = 0$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Omega_y d^3y = \langle F \,, \left\{ H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} - \left(E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} + \epsilon \right) \right\} F\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} < 0,$$

which implies that there exists $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\Omega_{y_0} < 0$. We conclude that $E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} - E_{\kappa\Lambda} \geq -E_{\mathrm{at}} - \epsilon$. Since ϵ is arbitrary, taking $\epsilon \searrow 0$, we obtain $E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} - E_{\kappa\Lambda} \geq -E_{\mathrm{at}}$ for all $0 < \kappa < \Lambda < \infty$. $\square$

We set

$$E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,V=0} = \inf \sigma \left(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,V=0} \right) = \varrho^{-2\tau} E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0}, \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,V=0} = \varrho^{-2\tau} U_\tau^* H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{V=0} U_\tau.$$

Then, it follows from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4 that

$$E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} - \varrho^{-2\tau} < E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} \leq E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\inf_{0<\kappa<\Lambda<\infty} (E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,V=0} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}) \geq -E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} \tag{4.11}$$

for $|e| < e_{_{\mathrm{UV}}}$.

5 Localization in position space

The coupling to the Bose field makes the electron effectively heavier. Thus, the spatial localization should become better with increasing coupling. In this section we prove exponential localization uniformly in κ, Λ for $|e| < e_{\rm UV}$. In fact the localization length is off only by a factor 2 from the one of the uncoupled hydrogen atom.

As already used in [5, 9], the localization serves as an input to the soft photon bound. Unfortunately, in our estimate the constant in front of the exponential depends so badly on e, Z that eventually one would have to impose a lower bound on Z, which should be avoided since also $e_{\rm IR}$, $e_{\rm UV}$ depend on Z. The constant can be improved by estimating only lower order moments. We will explain the bound for the average of $|x|$ and $|x|^2$. In our proof, in fact, we can allow only for the average of $\log(1+|x|)$.

Following the idea in the first part of the proof of [9, Lemma 6.2], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *Let G be in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, non-negative function with*

$$\sup_x |\nabla G(x)|, \ \ \sup_x |x|^{-1} G(x)^2 < \infty.$$

Then, for $|e| < e_{\rm UV}$, $\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(G)$ and

$$\|G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \le \lambda_1^2 \Big\{ \sup_x |\nabla G(x)|^2 + 2 \sup_x \frac{G(x)^2}{\lambda_1 |x|} \Big\} \|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof: First we prove (5.1) for in case of $G \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, from direct conputations, we have $\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(G)$ and $G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}, G^2\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda})$. Since

$$\Big[\Big[H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \,,\, G \Big] \,,\, G \Big] = (H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}) G^2 - 2G (H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}) G + G^2 (H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}),$$

we have

$$\langle G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \,,\, (H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}) G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \,,\, \frac{1}{2} |\nabla G|^2 \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{5.2}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \,,\, \big(H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \big) G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \Big\langle G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \,,\, \Big({H^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}}^{V=0} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 |x|} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \Big) G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \Big\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\ge ({E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}}^{V=0} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}) \|G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \Big\langle \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \,,\, \frac{G^2}{|x|} \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \Big\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We have by (5.2) and (5.3)

$$({E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}}^{V=0} - E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}) \|G\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \ \le \ \langle \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \,,\, \frac{1}{2} |\nabla G|^2 \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \Big\langle \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}, \frac{G^2}{|x|} \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \Big\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{5.4}$$

The assertion (5.1) for $G \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ follows from (4.11) and (5.4). For G satisfying assumptions in Lemma 5.1, we take a sequence of $G_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $G_n(x) \le G_{n+1}(x) \le G(x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_n(x) \to G(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $|\nabla G_n(x)| \le n^{-1} G_n(x) + |\nabla G(x)|$. Then, by Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem and (5.1) for $G_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \lim_{n\to\infty} |G_n(x)|^2 \|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \ &= \ \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G_n(x)|^2 \|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \\ &\le \ \lambda_1^2 \Big\{ \sup_x |\nabla G(x)|^2 + 2 \sup_x \frac{G(x)^2}{\lambda_1 |x|} \Big\} \|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(G)$ and (5.1) holds for G. □

To have a more concrete estimate we set

$$G_{_R}(x) = \chi_{_R}(|x|)g(x). \tag{5.5}$$

Here $\chi_{_R}(r) = 0$ for $r < R/2$ and $\chi_{_R}(r) = 1$ for $r > R$ with linear interpolation. The parameter $R > 0$ serves as a variation which will be optimized at the end. $g(x)$ is a twice differentiable satisfying

$$\sup_{R/2<|x|} |\nabla g(x)|^2 < \infty. \tag{5.6}$$

Then, we have

$$\sup_x |\nabla G_{_R}|^2 \leq 4R^{-2} \sup_{R/2<|x|<R} |g(x)|^2 + 4R^{-1} \sup_{R/2<|x|<R} |g(x)||\nabla g(x)| + \sup_{R/2<|x|} |\nabla g(x)|^2. \tag{5.7}$$

For example, if $g(x) = \sqrt{\log(3 + c|x|)}$ for a strictly positive constant c, then

$$\sup_x |\nabla G_{_R}|^2 \leq 4R^{-2} \log(3 + cR) + 5R^{-2}. \tag{5.8}$$

Similarly if $g(x) = |x|^{1/2}$, then

$$\sup_x |\nabla G_{_R}|^2 \leq 7R^{-1}, \tag{5.9}$$

and if $g(x) = |x|$, then

$$\sup_x |\nabla G_{_R}|^2 \leq 9. \tag{5.10}$$

By Lemma 5.1 we have the following.

Lemma 5.2 *(spatial localization). Let g be differentiable and non-negative, satisfy (5.6), and $G_{_R}(x)$ be defined in (5.5).*

(i) If $\sup_{R<|x|} |x|^{-1}|g(x)|^2 < \infty$, then $\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(g)$ and

$$\|g\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \left\{ \sup_{|x|\leq R} |g(x)|^2 + \lambda_1^2 \sup_x |\nabla G_{_R}(x)|^2 + 2\lambda_1 \sup_x \frac{G_{_R}(x)^2}{|x|} \right\} \|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{5.11}$$

for $|e| < e_{\mathrm{UV}}$.

(ii) If $4\lambda_1 < R$, then $\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(g)$

$$\|g\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \left\{ \sup_{|x|\leq R} |g(x)|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda_1} - \frac{2}{R} \right)^{-1} \sup_x |\nabla G_{_R}(x)|^2 \right\} \|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{5.12}$$

for $|e| < e_{\mathrm{UV}}$.

Proof: We note that $(1-\chi_{_R})g$ is a bounded operator. So, $D(g)=D(G_{_R})$ and the assertion (i) follows from Lemma 5.1 and

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|\,(1-\chi_{_R}+\chi_{_R})\,g\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\le \sup_{|x|\le R}|g(x)|^2\|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}+\|G_{_R}\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

On the other hand, by (5.4) and the same limiting argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\|G_{_R}\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \le \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}{}^{V=0}-E^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\right)-\frac{2}{\lambda_1 R}\right\}^{-1}\langle\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,|\nabla G_{_R}|^2\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{5.14}$$

Thus, the assertion (ii) follows from (4.11), (5.13) and (5.14). □

Proposition 5.3 *(ground state expectation and Bohr radius). Let* $0<|\mathrm{e}|<\mathrm{e}_{_{\mathrm{UV}}}$*. Then,*

(i) *for every* $R>0$*,* $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\in D(\log(3+|x|))$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,\log(3+|x|)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\le\left[\left\{\log\left(3+\frac{4\pi}{\mathrm{e}^2Z}R\right)\right\}^2+4\left(R^{-2}+R^{-1}\right)\log\left(3+\frac{4\pi}{\mathrm{e}^2Z}R\right)+5R^{-2}\right]\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\in D(|x|)$ *and* $\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,|x|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\le\dfrac{40\pi}{\mathrm{e}^2Z}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}$

(iii) *For every* R *with* $4<R$*,* $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\in D(|x|^2)$ *and*

$$\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,|x|^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\le\left(\frac{4\pi}{\mathrm{e}^2Z}\right)^2\left\{R^2+5\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{R}\right)^{-1}\right\}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Proof: It follows from Lemma 5.2(i) that $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ is in $D(\log(3+|x|))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,\log(3+|x|)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\langle U_1^*\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,U_1^*\log(3+|x|)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &=\langle\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,U_1^*\log(3+|x|)U_1\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\langle\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,\log(3+\frac{4\pi|x|}{\mathrm{e}^2Z})\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &=\langle\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,\log(3+\frac{4\pi|x|}{\mathrm{e}^2Z})\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\langle\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,\log(3+\frac{4\pi|x|}{\mathrm{e}^2Z})\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.2(i) with (5.8) and taking $\lambda_1=1$ so that $r(1)=\alpha Z$, we have the assertion (i). On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 5.2(ii) that $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ is in $D(|x|)$ and $D(|x|^2)$. By Lemma 5.2(i) with (5.9) and $\lambda_1=1$, we have

$$\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,|x|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\le\frac{4\pi}{\mathrm{e}^2Z}\left(R+7R^{-1}+2\right)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for every $R>0$, which implies the assertion (ii). In the same way, the assertion (iii) follows from Lemma 5.2(ii) with (5.10). □

Proposition 5.4 *(exponential decay). For every R with $4 < R$ and β with*

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{R} - \frac{\beta^2}{4}\left(\frac{4\pi}{\mathrm{e}^2 Z}\right)^2 > 0,$$

$\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(\exp[\beta|x|])$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, \exp[\beta|x|]\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\le \left[1 + \left(\frac{4}{R^2} + \frac{8\beta\pi}{R\mathrm{e}^2 Z}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{R^2} - \frac{\beta^2}{4}\left(\frac{4\pi}{\mathrm{e}^2 Z}\right)^2\right)^{-1}\right] e^{4\pi\beta R/(\mathrm{e}^2 Z)}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let $g(x) = e^{\widetilde{\beta}|x|/2}$, where $\widetilde{\beta} = 4\pi\beta/(\mathrm{e}^2 Z)$. Then, in the same way as (5.7), we have

$$|\nabla G_{_R}|^2 \le 4R^{-2}e^{\widetilde{\beta}R} + 2\widetilde{\beta}R^{-1}e^{\widetilde{\beta}R} + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}^2}{4}e^{\widetilde{\beta}|x|}. \tag{5.15}$$

By (5.15) and the same limiting argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 with $\lambda_1 = 1$ ($r(1) = \alpha Z$), we get

$$\langle \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, G^2_{_R}\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le \left(4R^{-2} + 2\widetilde{\beta}R^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{R} - \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{4}\right)^{-1} e^{\widetilde{\beta}R}\|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{5.16}$$

By (5.13) and (5.16), we have

$$\langle \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, e^{\widetilde{\beta}|x|}\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le \left[1 + \left(4R^{-2} + 2\widetilde{\beta}R^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{R} - \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{4}\right)^{-1}\right] e^{\widetilde{\beta}R}\|\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{5.17}$$

By (5.17) with noting $\langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, e^{\beta|x|}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, e^{\widetilde{\beta}|x|}\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, we obtain our assertion. □

6 Hard photon number bound

Let

$$h_x(k) = 2^{-1/2}k\beta_0(k)e^{-ik\cdot x}\omega(k)^{-1/2}\widehat{\varphi}_0(k).$$

From the pull-through formula for $a(k)$ one concludes

$$\begin{aligned} a(k)H_{\kappa\Lambda}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \;&=\; \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\; h_x(k)\cdot\left(p + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda} + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \\ &\quad + \omega(k)a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} + H_{\kappa\Lambda}a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

from which it follows that

$$(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda} + \omega(k))a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = -\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\; h_x(k)\cdot\left(p + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda} + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}.$$

Thus one has

$$\langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, N_{\mathrm{f}}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}d^3k \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 4\pi\alpha\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda} + \omega(k))^{-1}h_x(k)\cdot\left(p + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda} + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda}^*\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \tag{6.3}$$

In order to establish a uniform photon number bound, we divide the momentum space into the low energy infrared (IR) region, $|k| < 1$, and the high energy ultraviolet (UV) region, $|k| \geq 1$. Accordingly $\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,N_{\rm f}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is separated into an IR part and a UV part,

$$\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,N_{\rm f}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{|k|<1}\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d^3k + \int_{|k|\geq 1}\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d^3k.$$

Here we consider the UV region ($|k| \geq 1$) only and we do not need to worry about infrared singularities. In accordance we set $\tau = 0$ throughout.

If one pays attention to the increase of the order of ultraviolet divergence resulting from the commutator

$$[p\,,\,e^{ik\cdot x}] = ke^{ik\cdot x}, \tag{6.4}$$

the following inequality is useful.

Lemma 6.1 *For every α with $\alpha < e_{\rm UV}^2/4\pi$ and arbitrary κ, Λ with $0 < \kappa < \Lambda < \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda} + \omega(k))^{-1}h_x(k)\cdot\left(p + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A_{\kappa\Lambda} + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A_{\kappa\Lambda}^*\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &\leq C_D(\alpha)\;\omega(k)^{-1/2}|h_0(k)|\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

in the UV region, where

$$C_D(\alpha) = C_1(\alpha)\left(\sqrt{2 + (\alpha Z)^2} + 2\sqrt{2\alpha/\pi}\right) \tag{6.6}$$

with

$$C_1(\alpha) = \left(1 - C_{\rm UV}(e)\right)^{-1/2}. \tag{6.7}$$

Proof: Let us set

$$B = (H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda} + \omega(k))^{-1}. \tag{6.8}$$

Since

$$Bh_x(k)\cdot\left(p + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A_{\kappa\Lambda}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = h_0(k)\cdot Be^{-ik\cdot x}\left(p + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A_{\kappa\Lambda}\right)B^{1/2}\omega\,(k)^{1/2}\,\psi_{\kappa\Lambda},$$

by using $\omega(k)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ and applying (3.17) for $\epsilon > 1$, it follows from (3.4) and (3.5) for $\tau = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|Bh_x(k)\cdot\left(p + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A_{\kappa\Lambda}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &\leq |h_0(k)|\;\|B\|\omega(k)^{1/2}\left(\|pB^{1/2}\| + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\|A_{\kappa\Lambda}B^{1/2}\|\right)\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &\leq C_1(\alpha)\omega(k)^{-1/2}|h_0(k)|\;\left(\|p(H_0+1)^{-1/2}\| + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\|A_{\kappa\Lambda}(H_0+1)^{-1/2}\|\right)\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &\leq C_1(\alpha)\omega(k)^{-1/2}|h_0(k)|\;\left(\sqrt{2 + (\alpha Z)^2} + \sqrt{2\alpha/\pi}\right)\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by using (3.5) for $\tau = 0$ and again (3.17) for $\epsilon > 1$ and sandwiching $(H_0 + 1)^{1/2}(H_0+1)^{-1/2}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}$ in between $B^{1/2}h_x(k)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\sqrt{\pi}\|Bh_x(k)\cdot\alpha^{1/2}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\|B^{1/2}\|\ \|B^{1/2}h_x(k)\cdot A^*_{\kappa\Lambda}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\
&\leq C_1(\alpha)\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|(H_0+1)^{-1/2}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}\|\\
&\qquad\qquad |h_0(k)|\ \|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda}\|\ \|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\
&\leq C_1(\alpha)\omega(k)^{-1/2}|h_0(k)|\sqrt{2\alpha/\pi}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we infer (6.5) and the lemma follows. □

As an immediate consequence we state

Corollary 6.2 *For every α with $\alpha < e^2_{\mathrm{UV}}/4\pi$ and arbitrary κ, Λ with $0 < \kappa < \Lambda < \infty$ it holds*

$$\int_{|k|\geq 1}\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}d^3k \leq \frac{4\alpha C_D(\alpha)^2}{3\pi}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{6.9}$$

Proof:

$$\int_{|k|\geq 1}\left(\omega(k)^{-1/2}|h_0(k)|\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3}\int_{|k|\geq 1}|k|^{-2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}|k|^2\right)^{-2}d^3k = \frac{1}{3\pi^2}.$$

Our corollary follows now from (6.3), Lemma 6.1, and the above inequality. □

7 Soft photon number bound

To show the soft photon bound, one relies on the localization of the ground state $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$. More precisely, following [5], it can be proved that

$$\int_{|k|<1}\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}d^3k \leq const\ \alpha\||x|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{7.1}$$

by using $i\,[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,x] = p + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A_{\kappa\Lambda} + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda}$.

By Proposition 5.3 a uniform bound on $\||x|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2$ is available. However the prefactor in the bound in Proposition 5.3 (iii) contains the factor $(e^2Z)^{-2}$ which becomes large for $e \to 0$. Since e_{IR} and e_{UV} also depend on e^2Z conflicting requirements result. As can be seen from Proposition 5.3, the only resolution is to improve the prefactor by lowering the estimated moment. The main task of this section is to device an iterative scheme à la renormalization introducing an energy scale parameter, which systematically reduces the order of the moment bound.

Throughout this section, we consider the infrared region only.

7.1 Basic estimate

We denote the components of $A^\sharp_{\kappa\Lambda}$ by $A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}$ $(j=1,2,3)$, i.e., $A^\sharp_{\kappa\Lambda}=(A_{\kappa\Lambda,1},A_{\kappa\Lambda,2},A_{\kappa\Lambda,3})$. The following estimate is too rough for controlling the infrared singularity, nevertheless it is useful.

Lemma 7.1 *Let F,G be $\mathbb{R}^3$-valued functions of $k\in\mathbb{R}^3$, independent of $x\in\mathbb{R}^3$. Then for every α with $\sqrt{\alpha}<e_{\mathrm{UV}}$ and arbitrary κ,Λ with $0<\kappa<\Lambda<\infty$*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|Be^{iF\cdot x}\left(p_j+\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}+\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda,j}\right)e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le\Big(C_D(\alpha)+\min\{|F_j|,|G_j|\}\Big)\omega(k)^{-1}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\end{aligned}\tag{7.2}$$

in the IR region. In particular in the case either $F_j=0$ or $G_j=0$,

$$\|Be^{iF\cdot x}\left(p_j+2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}+2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda,j}\right)e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\le C_D(\alpha)\;\omega(k)^{-1}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Proof: By using (3.5) and (3.17) with $\epsilon<1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Be^{iF\cdot x}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda,j}e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}&\le\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|B^{1/2}(H_0+1)^{1/2}\|\;\|(H_0+1)^{-1/2}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda,j}\|\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le C_1(\alpha)\left(\sqrt{2}\pi\omega(k)\right)^{-1}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}},\end{aligned}$$

and, by using $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}=\omega(k)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|Be^{iF\cdot x}A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\le\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|B^{1/2}e^{iF\cdot x}A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le\|B^{1/2}e^{iF\cdot x}\|\;\|A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}e^{iG\cdot x}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}(H_0+1)^{-1/2}(H_0+1)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le\|B^{1/2}\|\;\|A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}e^{iG\cdot x}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}(H_0+1)^{-1/2}(H_0+1)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}(H_0+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|(H_0+1)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\|\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le C_1(\alpha)\left(\sqrt{2}\pi\omega(k)\right)^{-1}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate $\|Be^{iJ\cdot x}p_je^{i\widetilde{J}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Using $e^{iF\cdot x}p_j=p_je^{iF\cdot x}-F_je^{iF\cdot x}$, we obtain by (3.4) and (3.17) with $\epsilon<1$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|Be^{iF\cdot x}p_je^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\le\|Bp_je^{iF\cdot x}e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}+|F_j|\;\|Be^{iF\cdot x}e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|B^{1/2}(H_0+1)^{1/2}(H_0+1)^{-1/2}p_je^{iF\cdot x}e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}+|F_j|\;\|B\|\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le\omega(k)^{-1}\left(C_1(\alpha)\sqrt{2+(\alpha Z)^2}+|F_j|\right)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Alternatively using $p_je^{iG\cdot x}=e^{iG\cdot x}p_j+G_je^{iG\cdot x}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|Be^{iF\cdot x}p_je^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\le\|Be^{iF\cdot x}e^{iG\cdot x}p_j\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}+|G_j|\;\|Be^{iF\cdot x}e^{iG\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le\omega(k)^{-1}\|p_j\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}+|G_j|\;\|B\|\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\le\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|p_jB^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}+\omega(k)^{-1}|G_j|\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\&\le\omega(k)^{-1}\left(C_1(\alpha)\sqrt{2+(\alpha Z)^2}+|G_j|\right)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}},\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.17) with $\epsilon<1$ in the last inequality. Hence (7.2) follows. □

7.2 Energy scale renormalization

Let $\gamma(k) = (2\omega(k))^{-1/2}\,\beta_0(k)\widehat{\varphi}_0(k)$. From the pull-through formula (6.1), one has

$$a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;\gamma(k)BI_0\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \tag{7.3}$$

with $I_0 = e^{-ik\cdot x}k\cdot D$ and $D = p + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;A_{\kappa\Lambda} + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;A^*_{\kappa\Lambda}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|k|<1}\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}d^3k &\leq \text{const.} \times \int_{|k|<1}\omega(k)^{-2}\gamma(k)^2|\widehat{\varphi}_m(k)|^2d^3k \\ &\cong -\log\kappa \quad (\text{for small } \kappa).\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

As mentioned already, to avoid such infrared divergence, one considers the commutator

$$i\,[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,x] = p + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;A_{\kappa\Lambda} + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;A^*_{\kappa\Lambda}, \tag{7.5}$$

which yields then an infrared convergent bound proportional to $\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,|x|^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. We have to reduce to moment bound from $|x|^2$ to $\log(1+|x|)$ and first explain the iterative construction. In our specific application, in fact, two iteration steps suffice.

Let us start with the identity

$$[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{if_jx_j}] = \frac{1}{2}f_j^2e^{if_jx_j} + f_je^{if_jx_j}D_j, \tag{7.6}$$

where f_j depends only on $k\in\mathbb{R}^3$, and $D_j = p_j + \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}A_{\kappa\Lambda,j} + \sqrt{2\pi\alpha}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda,j}$. Then

$$D_j = \frac{1}{f_j}e^{-if_jx_j}[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{if_jx_j}] - \frac{1}{2}f_j. \tag{7.7}$$

Moreover

$$[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{ig\cdot x}] = \frac{1}{2}|g|^2e^{ig\cdot x} + e^{ig\cdot x}g\cdot D.$$

Let $\{f^{[n]}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a given scale of $\mathbb{R}^3$-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Their proper adjustment will be part of the proof. We define

$$g_\ell^{[j,n]} = k_\ell + \delta_{j\ell}\sum_{m=1}^n f_j^{[m]}, \quad j=1,2,3, \quad \ell=1,2,3,$$

and set $g^{[j,n]} = e^2(g_1^{[j,n]}, g_2^{[j,n]}, g_3^{[j,n]})$ and $g^{[j,0]} = (k_1,k_2,k_3)$. Note that

$$e^{-ig^{[j,n]}\cdot x}e^{-if_j^{[n+1]}x_j} = e^{-ig^{[j,n+1]}\cdot x}. \tag{7.8}$$

Since

$$[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,n-1]}\cdot x}] = [H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,n]}\cdot x}e^{if_j^{[n]}x_j}] = [H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,n]}\cdot x}]e^{if_j^{[n]}x_j} + e^{-ig^{[j,n]}\cdot x}[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{if_j^{[n]}x_j}],$$

one obtains that

$$[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{if_j^{[n]}x_j}] = e^{ig^{[j,n]}\cdot x}[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,n-1]}\cdot x}] - e^{ig^{[j,n]}\cdot x}[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,n]}\cdot x}]e^{if_j^{[n]}x_j}. \tag{7.9}$$

From (7.7) and (7.8) one infers

$$I_0\psi^{(1)}_{\kappa\Lambda}=\sum_{j=1}^{3}e^{-ig^{[j,0]}\cdot x}k_j\left(\frac{1}{f^{[1]}_j}e^{-if^{[1]}_j x_j}[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{if^{[1]}_j x_j}]-\frac{1}{2}f^{[1]}_j\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}=I_{11}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}+\Psi_{10},\qquad(7.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_{11}&=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j}{f^{[1]}_j}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{if^{[1]}_j x_j}],\\ \Psi_{10}&=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}k_jf^{[1]}_je^{-ig^{[j,0]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

By (7.8) and (7.9) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}I_{11}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}&=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j}{f^{[1]}_j}[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,0]}\cdot x}]\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}-\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j}{f^{[1]}_j}[H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}]e^{if^{[1]}_j x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\\ &=\Psi_{11}+\Psi_{12}+\widetilde{\Psi}_{13},\qquad(7.11)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{11}&=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j}{f^{[1]}_j}\left(H_{\kappa\Lambda}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}\right)e^{-ig^{[j,0]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda},\\ \Psi_{12}&=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j}{f^{[1]}_j}|g^{[j,1]}|^2e^{-ig^{[j,0]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda},\\ \widetilde{\Psi}_{13}&=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j}{f^{[1]}_j}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\left(g^{[j,1]}\cdot D\right)e^{if^{[1]}_j x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we decompose $\widetilde{\Psi}_{13}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Psi}_{13}&=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j}{f^{[1]}_j}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}g^{[j,1]}_jD_je^{if^{[1]}_j x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}+\sum_{j=1}^{3}\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell\neq j}}^{3}\frac{k_j}{f^{[1]}_j}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}g^{[j,1]}_\ell D_\ell e^{if^{[1]}_j x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\\ &=\widetilde{I}_1\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}+\Psi_{13},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{I}_1&=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\widetilde{I}^j_1,\qquad \widetilde{I}^j_1:=\frac{k_j\left(k_j+f^{[1]}_j\right)}{f^{[1]}_j}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}D_je^{if^{[1]}_j x_j},\\ \Psi_{13}&=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell\neq j}}^{3}\frac{k_jk_\ell}{f^{[1]}_j}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}D_\ell e^{if^{[1]}_j x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda},\end{aligned}$$

and we used the definition of $g^{[j,1]}$.

Set

$$I_1=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\widetilde{I}^j_1e^{-if^{[1]}_j x_j}=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j\left(k_j+f^{[1]}_j\right)}{f^{[1]}_j}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}D_j.$$

Then the error term Ψ_1^{er} is defined as

$$\Psi_1^{\mathrm{er}} := \left(\widetilde{I}_1 - I_1\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \widetilde{I}_1^j \left(1 - e^{-if_j^{[1]}x_j}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}.$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$I_0\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{3} \Psi_{1\nu} + I_1\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} + \Psi_1^{\mathrm{er}}. \tag{7.12}$$

The scale function $f^{[1]}$ is chosen such that $|\gamma(k)|^2 \|B\sum_{\nu=0}^{3}\Psi_{1\nu}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ is integrable at small k. Therefore, the first term of (7.12) can be retained. The map from $I_0\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ to $I_1\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ is called the first iteration $\mathcal{R}_1$. We repeat the same procedure as applied to $I_1\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$. Then, by (7.7) and (7.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]}} e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x} \left\{ \frac{1}{f_j^{[2]}} e^{-if_j^{[2]}x_j} [H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}] - \frac{1}{2} f_j^{[2]} \right\} \psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \\ &= I_{21}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} + \Psi_{20}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} e^{-ig^{[j,2]}\cdot x} [H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}], \\ \Psi_{20} &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right) f_j^{[2]}}{f_j^{[1]}} e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

By (7.8) and (7.9) one obtains

$$I_{21}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} \left([H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}] - [H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, e^{-ig^{[j,2]}\cdot x}] e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j} \right) \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} \left(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}\right) e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} - \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} [H_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, e^{-ig^{[j,2]}\cdot x}] e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$$

$$= \Psi_{21} + \Psi_{22} + \widetilde{\Psi}_{23},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{21} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} \left(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}\right) e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}, \\ \Psi_{22} &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} |g^{[j,2]}|^2 e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}, \\ \widetilde{\Psi}_{23} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} e^{-ig^{[j,2]}\cdot x} \left(g^{[j,2]}\cdot D\right) e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\widetilde{\Psi}_{23}$ is decomposed as $\widetilde{\Psi}_{23} = \widetilde{I}_2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} + \Psi_{23}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{I}_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]} + f_j^{[2]}\right)}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} e^{-ig^{[j,2]}\cdot x} D_j e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}, \\ \Psi_{23} &= \sum_{j=1}^{3}\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell\neq j}}^{3} \frac{k_j\left(k_j + f_j^{[1]}\right)k_\ell}{f_j^{[1]} f_j^{[2]}} e^{-ig^{[j,2]}\cdot x} D_\ell e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the definition of $g_\ell^{[j,2]}$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$I_1\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{3} \Psi_{2\nu} + \widetilde{I}_2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}. \tag{7.14}$$

Again $f^{[2]}$ is chosen such that $|\gamma(k)|^2\|B\sum_{\nu=0}^{3}\Psi_{2\nu}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ is integrable at small k. Therefore, the first term of (7.14) may be retained. Then, in the same way as for I_1 we can get I_2 by using the dipole approximation only for the last factor $e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}$ in each component of $\widetilde{I}_2$. The map from $I_1\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ to $I_2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ is called the second iteration $\mathcal{R}_2$. In particular the iterations may be repeated ad infinitum. However, we stop our iteration here and set

$$I_2 = \widetilde{I}_2.$$

So, there is no error term from the second step.

Lemma 7.2 *There exists* δ *with* $0 < \delta < 1/2$ *such that for every* α *with* $\alpha < \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}^2/4\pi$ *and arbitrary* κ, Λ *with* $0 < \kappa < \Lambda < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda} + \omega(k))^{-1}h_x(k)\cdot\left(p + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda} + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda}^*\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} - \gamma(k)B\Psi_1^{\mathrm{er}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 3\left(8C_D(\alpha) + \frac{21}{2}\right)|\gamma(k)|\ |k|^{\delta}\ \|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned}$$

holds in the IR region.

Lemma 7.3 *For all* α *with* $\alpha < \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}^2/4\pi$ *and* $\alpha Z < 1$, *and every* ε *with* $1/2 < \varepsilon < 1$, *it holds*

$$\alpha\int_{|k|<1}|\gamma(k)|^2\|B\Psi_1^{\mathrm{er}}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d^3k \leq M\alpha\left\{9 + 2L(\alpha,Z)^2 + 9\times 10^{-2}L(\alpha,Z)\right\}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_H^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha,Z) &= \log\left(3 + \frac{10^2}{\alpha Z}\right), \\ M &= \frac{18C_1(\alpha)^2\left(C_D(\alpha) + 2\right)^2}{\varepsilon\pi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as for the proof of 6.2, from Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3 it follows

Corollary 7.4 *We have for every* α *with* $\alpha < e_{\rm UV}^2/4\pi$ *and arbitrary* κ, Λ *with* $0 < \kappa < \Lambda < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|k|<1} \|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 dk \\ &\leq \left[\frac{9\alpha}{\pi\delta}\left(8C_D(\alpha)+\frac{21}{2}\right)^2 + 2M\alpha\left(9+2L(\alpha,Z)^2+9\times 10^{-2}L(\alpha,Z)\right)\right]\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

7.3 Proof of Lemma 7.2

We choose our energy scale parameters as follows: for fixed ε with $1/2 < \varepsilon < 1$, we set

$$f_j^{[1]}(k) = |k|^\varepsilon, \quad f_j^{[2]}(k) = -f_j^{[1]}(k), \quad j = 1,2,3. \tag{7.16}$$

Then, the following inequality

$$|k_j + f_j^{[1]}| \leq |k_j| + |f_j^{[1]}| \leq (|k| + |k|^\varepsilon) \leq 2|k|^\varepsilon \tag{7.17}$$

holds, since $|k| < 1$.

For

$$BI_0\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} - \Psi_1^{\rm er} = \sum_{n=1}^{2}\sum_{\nu=0}^{3} B\Psi_{n\nu} + BI_2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}, \tag{7.18}$$

we estimate each term in (7.18). Using (7.16) $B\Psi_{n\nu}$ is estimated from above. Let us first consider $B\Psi_{1\nu}$. One has

$$\|B\Psi_{10}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}|k_j|\,|f_j^{[1]}|\,\|B\|\,\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq |k|^\varepsilon\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{7.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|B\Psi_{11}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|}{|f_j^{[1]}|}\|B(H_{\kappa\Lambda}-E_{\kappa\Lambda})\|\,\|e^{-ig^{[j,0]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 3|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

and

$$\|B\Psi_{12}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|}{|f_j^{[1]}|}\|B\|\,|g^{[j,1]}|^2\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{2|k|}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|}{|f_j^{[1]}|}|g^{[j,1]}|^2\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|}{|f_j^{[1]}|}|g^{[j,1]}|^2 \leq |k|^{1-\varepsilon}\sum_{j=1}^{3}|g^{[j,1]}|^2.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_3^+$ be the set of even permutations of $(1,2,3)$. Then by (7.17)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{3}|g^{[j,1]}|^2 &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_3^+}\left\{|k_{\sigma(1)}+f_{\sigma(1)}^{[1]}|^2 + |k_{\sigma(2)}|^2 + |k_{\sigma(3)}|^2\right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_3^+}\left\{4|k|^{2\varepsilon}+2|k|^{2\varepsilon}\right\} = 18|k|^{2\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\|B\Psi_{12}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le 9|k|^{\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{7.21}$$

Moreover it follows from Lemma 7.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B\Psi_{13}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\le \sum_{j=1}^{3}\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell\neq j}}^{3}\frac{|k_j|\ |k_\ell|}{|f_j^{[1]}|}\|Be^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}D_\ell e^{if_j^{[1]}x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le 6C_D(\alpha)|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

Next let us estimate $B\Psi_{2\nu}$. By (7.17) one has

$$\|B\Psi_{20}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \frac{1}{2}\|B\|\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|\ |k_j+f_j^{[1]}|\ |f_j^{[2]}|}{|f_j^{[1]}|}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le 3|k|^{\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{7.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B\Psi_{21}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\le \sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|\ |k_j+f_j^{[1]}|}{|f_j^{[1]}|\ |f_j^{[2]}|}\|B(H_{\kappa\Lambda}-E_{\kappa\Lambda})\|\ \|e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le 6|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B\Psi_{22}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\le \frac{1}{2}\|B\|\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|\ |k_j+f_j^{[1]}|}{|f_j^{[1]}|\ |f_j^{[2]}|}|g^{[j,2]}|^2\ \|e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le \frac{1}{2|k|}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|\ |k_j+f_j^{[1]}|}{|f_j^{[1]}|\ |f_j^{[2]}|}|g^{[j,2]}|^2\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $f_j^{[1]} = -f_j^{[2]}$. Therefore by (7.17)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k_j|\ |k_j+f_j^{[1]}|}{|f_j^{[1]}|\ |f_j^{[2]}|}|g^{[j,2]}|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{|k|\ |k_j+f_j^{[1]}|}{|f_j^{[1]}|^2}|g^{[j,2]}|^2 \le 2|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\sum_{j=1}^{3}|g^{[j,2]}|^2$$

and in addition

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{3}|g^{[j,2]}|^2 &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_3^+}\left\{|k_{\sigma(1)}+f_{\sigma(1)}^{[1]}+f_{\sigma(1)}^{[2]}|^2+|k_{\sigma(2)}|^2+|k_{\sigma(3)}|^2\right\} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_3^+}\left\{|k_{\sigma(1)}|^2+|k_{\sigma(2)}|^2+|k_{\sigma(3)}|^2\right\} = 3|k|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|k|<1$, one has

$$\|B\Psi_{22}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le 3|k|^{2-\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} < 3|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{7.25}$$

Moreover it follows from Lemma 7.1 and (7.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B\Psi_{23}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\le \sum_{j=1}^{3}\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell\neq j}}^{3}\frac{|k_j|\ |k_j+f_j^{[1]}|\ |k_\ell|}{|f_j^{[1]}|\ |f_j^{[2]}|}\|Be^{-ig^{[j,2]}\cdot x}D_\ell e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le 12C_D(\alpha)|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.26}$$

Finally let us consider $I_2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$. Note that $f_j^{[1]} = -f_j^{[2]}$. By Lemma 7.1 and (7.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|BI_2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{|k_j|\,|k_j+f_j^{[1]}|\,|k_j+f_j^{[1]}+f_j^{[2]}|}{|f_j^{[1]}|\,|f_j^{[2]}|}\|Be^{-ig^{[j,2]}\cdot x}D_j e^{if_j^{[2]}x_j}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 2|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(C_D(\alpha)+|k|^{\varepsilon}\right)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 6(C_D(\alpha)+1)|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.27}$$

where we used $|k|^{\varepsilon} < 1$ in the last inequality.

Combining (7.18), (7.19)–(7.26) and (7.27), we complete the proof of Lemma 7.2. Set $\delta = 1-\varepsilon$. Then $0 < \delta < 1/2$. By (7.19)–(7.27) one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|BI_0\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} - B\Psi_1^{\mathrm{er}}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{2}\sum_{\nu=0}^{3}\|B\Psi_{n\nu}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|BI_2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 3|k|^{1-\varepsilon}\left\{\frac{9}{2}|k|^{2\varepsilon-1} + 2\left(3+4C_D\left(\alpha\right)\right)\right\}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Noting $|k|^{2\varepsilon-1} < 1$, the above inequality implies Lemma 7.2.

7.4 Proof of Lemma 7.3

The estimate of the error term Ψ_1^{er} shows how our iteration improves the moment bound.

We use the same ε as in the proof of Lemma 7.2, i.e.,

$$1/2 < \varepsilon < 1.$$

First, by (7.17) and using $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = \omega(k)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$ and $(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2} = I$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\|B\widetilde{I}_1^j\left(1-e^{-if_j^{[1]}x_j}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 2|k|\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|B^{1/2}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}D_j\left(e^{if_j^{[1]}x_j}-1\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= 2|k|\;\|B^{1/2}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}D_j(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\left(e^{if_j^{[1]}x_j}-1\right)(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 2|k|\;\|B^{1/2}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}D_j(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|\left(e^{if_j^{[1]}x_j}-1\right)(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.28}$$

By (6.4) one gets $\left[D_j\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\right] = \left[p_j\,,\,e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\right] = -g_j^{[j,1]}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}$. Thus by (7.17) one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\|B^{1/2}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}D_j(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\ &\leq \|B^{1/2}D_j(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\| + |g_j^{[j,1]}|\;\|B^{1/2}\|\;\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}e^{-ig^{[j,1]}\cdot x}\| \\ &\leq \|B^{1/2}D_j(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\| + 2|k|^{\varepsilon-1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.29}$$

On the other hand, by (3.4), (3.5), and (3.17) in the case $\epsilon < 1$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|B^{1/2}D_j(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\
&\leq \|B(H_0+1)^{1/2}\| \left(\|(H_0+1)^{-1/2}p_j\| + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}\|(H_0+1)^{-1/2}A^*_{\kappa\Lambda,j}\|\right) \\
&\qquad +2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^{1/2}|k|^{-1/2}\|A_{\kappa\Lambda,j}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\
&\leq C_D(\alpha)|k|^{-1/2}. \qquad (7.30)
\end{aligned}$$

By (7.28)–(7.30), one obtains

$$\|B\widetilde{I}_1^j\left(1-e^{-if_j^{[1]}x_j}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2|k|^{1/2}\left(C_D(\alpha)+2\right)\|\left(e^{i|k|^{\varepsilon}x_j}-1\right)(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}B^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad (7.31)$$

where we used $|k|^{\varepsilon} < 1 < C_1(\alpha)$. Then, by (7.31) and using the change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|k|<1}|\gamma(k)|^2\|B\Psi_1^{\mathrm{er}}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}d^3k \\
\leq\ & \frac{2\pi}{2(2\pi)^3}\int_0^{\pi}\sin\theta d\theta\int_0^1\frac{1}{r}\times 3\sum_{j=1}^{3}\|\left(H_{\kappa\Lambda}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}+r\right)^{-1}\widetilde{I}_1^j\left(1-e^{-if_j^{[1]}x_j}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}dr \\
\leq\ & \frac{3}{\pi^2}\left(C_D\left(\alpha\right)+2\right)^2\int_0^1\sum_{j=1}^{3}\|\left(e^{ir^{\varepsilon}x_j}-1\right)\left(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1\right)^{1/2}\left(H_{\kappa\Lambda}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}+r\right)^{-1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}dr \\
\leq\ & \frac{3}{\pi^2}\left(C_D\left(\alpha\right)+2\right)^2\int_0^1 S(r)dr, \qquad (7.32)
\end{aligned}$$

where $S:[0,1]\to[0,\infty)$ is defined by

$$S(r) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left\|r^{-1/2}\left(e^{ir^{\varepsilon}x_j}-1\right)\left(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1\right)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\right\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} & \text{for } 0<r\leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } r=0. \end{cases} \qquad (7.33)$$

We note the following points: (i) $S(r)$ can be written as an integral over x according to

$$S(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left\|r^{-1/2}\left(e^{ir^{\varepsilon}x_j}-1\right)\left(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1\right)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\right\|^2_{\mathcal{F}}(x)d^3x.$$

(ii) $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\in D(x^2)\cap D(H_{\mathrm{f}})$, and x and $(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}$ are (strongly) commutable in the sense of the definition on p.271 in [21]. Thereby it can be proved that $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\in D\left(x\left(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1\right)^{1/2}\right)$, see Appendix B. Thus, one has

$$S(r) = r^{2(\varepsilon-1/2)}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left\|r^{-\varepsilon}\left(e^{ir^{\varepsilon}x_j}-1\right)\left(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1\right)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\right\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \to 0$$

as $r\to 0$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $S(r)$ is continuous on $(0,1]$. Thus, $S(r)$ is continuous on $[0,1]$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 S(r)dr &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}}(x)\sum_{j=1}^{3}\int_0^1\left|\frac{e^{ir^{\varepsilon}x_j}-1}{\sqrt{r}}\right|^2 drd^3x \\
&= \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}}(x)\sum_{j=1}^{3}\int_0^{|x_j|}\frac{1-\cos s}{s}dsd^3x \qquad (7.34)
\end{aligned}$$

by Fubini's theorem. Note

$$\int_0^{10^2} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds \leq 3 + \sum_{n=1}^{15} \frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_{2\pi n}^{2\pi(n+1)} (1-\cos s) ds \leq \gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}, \tag{7.35}$$

where $\gamma_{_E}$ is the Euler number, $\gamma_{_E} = 0.57721\cdots$. Thus, altogether

$$\int_0^{|x_j|} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds \leq \gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}$$

for $|x_j| \leq 10^2$. On the other hand,

$$\int_0^{|x_j|} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds \leq \int_0^{10^2} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds + 2\int_{10^2}^{|x_j|} \frac{1}{s} ds \leq \gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30} + 2\log|x|$$

for $10^2 < |x_j|$. Thus, we have

$$\int_0^{|x_j|} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds \leq \max\left\{\gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}\, ,\, \gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30} + 2\log|x|\right\}. \tag{7.36}$$

Set $R = 10^2$. Then we have

$$\int_0^{|x_j|} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds = \left(1 - \chi_{_R}(x)^2\right) \int_0^{|x_j|} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds + \chi_{_R}(x)^2 \int_0^{|x_j|} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds. \tag{7.37}$$

Since $|x_j| \leq |x| \leq R$, so the integral in the first term of (7.37) is less than $\gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}$, and we get by (7.36)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{|x_j|} \frac{1-\cos s}{s} ds \;&\leq\; \left(\gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}\right)\left(1 - \chi_{_R}(x)^2\right) \\
&\quad + \chi_{_R}(x)^2 \max\left\{\gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}\, ,\, \gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30} + 2\log|x|\right\} \\
&\leq\; \left(\gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}\right)\left(1 - \chi_{_R}(x)^2\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}\right)\chi_{_R}(x)^2 + 2\chi_{_R}(x)^2 \log|x| \\
&=\; \gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30} + 2\chi_{_R}(x)^2 \log|x| \\
&\leq\; \gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30} + 2\chi_{_R}(x)^2 \log(3+|x|).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.38}$$

By (7.34) and (7.38), we have

$$\int_0^1 S(r) dr \leq \frac{6C_1(\alpha)^2}{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{_E} + \log 15 + \frac{91}{30}\right)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \frac{12}{\varepsilon}\|\,(H_{\rm f}+1)^{1/2}\, G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \tag{7.39}$$

where $G_{_R}(x) = \chi_{_R}(x)\Big(\log(3+|x|)\Big)^{1/2}$. We note

$$G_{_R}(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda})G_{_R} = \frac{1}{2}\left(|\nabla G_{_R}|^2 + (H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda})G_{_R}^2 + G_{_R}^2(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda})\right)$$

with (5.8), $G_{_R}^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} \in D(H_{\kappa\Lambda})$ from direct computations, and $(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda})\psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = 0$. Then, in the same way as (5.2), we have

$$\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda})^{1/2} G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,|\nabla G_{_R}|^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{7.40}$$

Thus, inserting $(H_0+1)^{-1/2}(H_0+1)^{1/2}(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}+1)^{-1/2}(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}+1)^{1/2}$ between $(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}G_{_R}$ and using Lemma 12.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&\le C_1(\alpha)^2\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}+1)^{1/2}G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&= C_1(\alpha)^2\langle G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda})G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + C_1(\alpha)^2\|G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&= C_1(\alpha)^2\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda} - E_{\kappa\Lambda})^{1/2}G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + C_1(\alpha)^2\|G_{_R}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.41}$$

By (5.8), we have for $R = 10^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_x |\nabla G_{_R}|^2 &< 4\times 10^{-4}\log(3+10^2) + 5\times 10^{-4} \\
&< 17\times 10^{-4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.42}$$

By (7.40), (7.41), (7.42), Proposition 5.3 (i), and Lemma 12.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\,(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}\,\chi_{_R}(x)\sqrt{\log(3+|x|)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&\le C_1\,(\alpha)^2\left\{L(\alpha,Z)^2 + 4\left(10^{-4}+10^{-2}\right)L(\alpha,Z) + 27\times 10^{-4}/2\right\}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.43}$$

Therefore, (7.32), (7.39) and (7.43) imply the lemma.

8 Overlap with atomic ground state

Let P_{at} and P_Ω be the orthogonal projections onto the space spanned by ψ_{at} and Ω, respectively. Set $P = P_{\mathrm{at}}\otimes P_\Omega$ and $Q = (1-P_{\mathrm{at}})\otimes P_\Omega$. We define

$$C_\tau(e) = |e|^{2-2\tau} + |e|\sqrt{1+Z^2} + e^2, \quad 3/4 < \tau \le 1. \tag{8.1}$$

Fix τ in $(3/4\,,\,1]$. Then, there exists a positive constant $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}$ such that

$$C_\tau(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}) = 1/2. \tag{8.2}$$

We prove the following lemma in this section.

Lemma 8.1 *Fix τ in $(3/4\,,\,1]$. For every charge e satisfying $|e| < \min\{\mathrm{e}_{_{\mathrm{UV}}}\,,\,\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}\,,\,1\}$, and for arbitrary κ,Λ with $0<\kappa<\Lambda<\infty$,*

$$\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,Q\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le 8\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2 F_{\mathrm{IR}}(e)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \tag{8.3}$$

where

$$F_{\mathrm{IR}}(e) = \sqrt{1+Z^2}\Big(|e|^{4\tau-3} + 3|e|^{1/2}\Big) + e^2. \tag{8.4}$$

To find good charge dependence of the cloud which electron dresses, we develop a way to analyze the cloud by using Lemma 11.1 in Appendix A. After this device, the following conditions work well: For ϱ of (2.2) we take

$$\varrho = e^2 \tag{8.5}$$

in this section. So, $\lambda_1 = 4\pi/Z$ now, and we assume

$$|e| \le 1. \tag{8.6}$$

Namely,

$$\varrho \le 1. \tag{8.7}$$

Remark. The reason why we introduce λ_1 is to avoid the trouble mentioned in the remark at the end of Section 3. By Lemma 3.1, we have $C_*(\alpha,\tau) \sim \sqrt{6}/(\lambda_1 Z) + o(\alpha^{1/2})$ for sufficiently small α. So, we can make $C_*(\alpha,\tau)$ less than 1 for sufficiently small α in case of (8.5) though we still cannot control the factor $\sqrt{6}/(\lambda_1 Z) = \sqrt{6}/4\pi$ by α.

In this section we restrict τ to lie in the range

$$3/4 < \tau \le 1. \tag{8.8}$$

For simplicity of notation, we use the fine structure constant α for a while, rather than the charge e. Since the external potential $-\alpha Z r(-\tau)/|x|$ in $H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}$ is of long range, as is well known, all negative eigenvalues of $H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}$ have finite multiplicities, and they only accumulate at 0. Thus we take a positive $\mathcal{E}$ such that

$$E_1^{(\tau)} = E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} < -\mathcal{E} < E_2^{(\tau)} = -\frac{(\alpha Z)^2 r(-2\tau)}{8}. \tag{8.9}$$

Let $P_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}$ be the orthogonal projection on to the space spanned by $\psi_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}$. Set $Q^{(\tau)} = (1 - P_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}) \otimes P_\Omega$. Then, since $U_\tau^* H_{\kappa\Lambda} U_\tau$ and $H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}$ differ only by the multiplicative factor $r(2\tau)$, one has

$$U_\tau^* Q U_\tau = Q^{(\tau)}.$$

Thus,

$$\langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, Q\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle U_\tau^*\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\, U_\tau^* Q U_\tau U_\tau^* \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{8.10}$$

Since $E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} \le E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} < -\mathcal{E} < E_2^{(\tau)} < 0$ by (4.2) and $\left[H_0^{(\tau)}\,,\, Q^{(\tau)}\right] = 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;&<\; (-\mathcal{E} - E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})\|Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le (E_2^{(\tau)} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)})\langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\le\; \langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, (H_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} + H_{\mathrm{f}} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)})\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = -\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, H_{\mathrm{I}}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le -\frac{\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\,H_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}{-\mathcal{E}+|E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}|},$$

since $-\mathcal{E}-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}>0$. Taking $\mathcal{E}\to -E_2^{(\tau)}$, one gets

$$\|Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le \frac{8\lambda_1^{2\tau}}{3(\alpha Z)^{2(1-\tau)}}\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\,H_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{8.11}$$

We will estimate the right-hand side of (8.11). Let

$$-\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\,H_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = (i)+(ii), \tag{8.12}$$

where

$$(i) = -\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\,r(\tau)\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\,(p\cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\cdot p)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{8.13}$$

$$(ii) = -2\pi\alpha r(2\tau)\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\,({A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^2+2A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*2})\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{8.14}$$

Noting $A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\Omega=0$, in the same way as the proof of [3, Lemma 4.7] we estimate (i) and (ii).

Concerning (i), one has by (3.4) and (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\,p\cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|\\
&\le \|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}p\|\ \|A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|\ \|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\
&\le \frac{1}{\pi}r(-\tau)\sqrt{2(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})}\ \|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $[(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2},Q^{(\tau)}]=0$. Since $A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\Omega=0$, we have

$$|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\,A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\cdot p\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|=0.$$

It follows from (3.17) that

$$\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le C_1(\alpha,\tau)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{8.15}$$

where $C_1(\alpha,\tau)$ is defined by (3.18), i.e., $C_1(\alpha,\tau)=C_1(e,\tau)$ with the charge e. Then

$$|(i)| \le \frac{2C_1(\alpha,\tau)^2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\Theta_1(\alpha)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(1)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \tag{8.16}$$

follows, where

$$\Theta_1(\alpha)=\alpha^{1/2}\ \sqrt{2\left(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}\right)}. \tag{8.17}$$

Before estimating (ii) we make the following.

Remark. The immediate inclination is to work with revised atomic units, i.e., $\tau=1$. Then the prefactor in (8.11) is $8\lambda_1^2/3Z^2$. Unfortunately, if we had invoked the standard way using Lemma 11.2(ii) (e.g., [3, (4.19)]), the matrix element would have a constant term, $\sqrt{6}/(\lambda_1 Z)$, independent of α because of the same reason written in the remark after Lemma 8.1. As mentioned in the remark, λ_1 should satisfy $\sqrt{6}/Z < \lambda_1$ to make $C_*(\alpha, Z)$ less than 1. Therefor, we could not make (8.11) small enough. To resolve such difficulty, we leave the position scale parameter $r(\tau)$ open and optimize it at the end of the estimate.

Lemma 8.2

$$|(ii)| \leq \frac{2C_1(\alpha,\tau)}{\pi}\Theta_2(\alpha)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \tag{8.18}$$

follows, where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta_2(\alpha)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\alpha r(2\tau) + \sqrt{2}\alpha r(\tau)\\
&+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\Bigg\{\left(\sqrt{2(1-E_{\rm at}^{(\tau)})} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha^{1/2} r(\tau)\right)^2 \frac{\alpha^3}{\epsilon(1-2\epsilon)} r(-2\tau)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + \frac{\alpha^4}{(1-16\epsilon^2)\pi} r(-2\tau)\Bigg\}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.19}$$

Proof: Let $\mathbb{R}^3_{\rm IR} = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^3 | |k| < 1\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^3_{\rm UV} = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3_{\rm IR}$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we split $f = f_{\rm IR} + f_{\rm UV}$ defined by $f_{\rm IR} = f\chi_{|k|<1}$ and $f_{\rm UV} = f\chi_{|k|\geq 1}$, where $\chi_{|k|<1}$ is the characteristic (indicator) function of $\{k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, |k| < 1\}$ and $\chi_{|k|\geq 1} = 1 - \chi_{|k|<1}$.

Define $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_{\rm IR}))$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_{\rm UV}))$. Then there exists the unitary operator $U : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$. We identify $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ with $\mathcal{F}$ through this U, so that $Ua^\sharp(f)U^{-1} = a^\sharp(f_{\rm IR}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a^\sharp(f_{\rm UV}) = a^\sharp(f_{\rm IR}) + a^\sharp(f_{\rm UV})$. Set

$$H_{\rm f1} = \int_{|k|<1} \omega(k)a^*(k)a(k)d^3k, \quad H_{\rm f2} = \int_{|k|\geq 1} \omega(k)a^*(k)a(k)dk, \tag{8.20}$$

and similarly,

$$N_{\rm f1} = \int_{|k|<1} a^*(k)a(k)d^3k, \quad N_{\rm f2} = \int_{|k|\geq 1} a^*(k)a(k)d^3k. \tag{8.21}$$

We set

$$N_\rho := \int_{|k|\geq 1} \omega(k)^\rho a^*(k)a(k)d^3k, \quad \rho \geq 0. \tag{8.22}$$

So, $N_0 = N_{\rm f2}$ and $N_1 = H_{\rm f2}$.

Since $A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = a(f^{A(\tau)}) = a(f_{\rm IR}^{A(\tau)}) + a(f_{\rm UV}^{A(\tau)})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, {A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|\\
&\leq |\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\rm IR}^{A(\tau)})^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| + 2|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\rm IR}^{A(\tau)})a(f_{\rm UV}^{A(\tau)})\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|\\
&\quad + |\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\rm UV}^{A(\tau)})^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|\\
&= |\langle a(f_{\rm IR}^{A(\tau)})^*Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\rm IR}^{A(\tau)})\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| + 2|\langle a(f_{\rm IR}^{A(\tau)})^*Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\rm UV}^{A(\tau)})\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|\\
&\quad + |\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\rm UV}^{A(\tau)})^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that by Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle a(f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)})^* Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)})\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&\le \|a(f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)})^*(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\ \|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|a(f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)})(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\
&\qquad\quad \times\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \times\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le C_1(\alpha,\tau)\left(\|f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)}/\sqrt{\omega}\|_{L^2}+\|f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)}\|_{L^2}\right)\|f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)}/\sqrt{\omega}\|_{L^2}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&\le \frac{C_1(\alpha,\tau)}{2\pi^2}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle a(f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)})^* Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&\le \|a(f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)})^*(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\ \|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\
&\qquad\quad \times\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|\ \|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \times\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le C_1(\alpha,\tau)\left(\|f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)}/\sqrt{\omega}\|_{L^2}+\|f_{\mathrm{IR}}^{A(\tau)}\|_{L^2}\right)\|f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)}/\sqrt{\omega}\|_{L^2}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&\le \frac{r(-\tau)C_1(\alpha,\tau)}{\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), (3.17) and the fact that $(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}$. So, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, {A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&\le \frac{C_1(\alpha,\tau)}{\pi^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{2}r(-\tau)\right)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + |\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \qquad (8.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Before estimating $|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|$, we introduce some notations to profit from Lemma 8.3 below improving Lemma 6.1 in the case $0 \le \tau \le 1$.

Let

$$h_{\tau,x}(k) = 2^{-1/2}k\beta_\tau(k)e^{-ir(2\tau)k\cdot x}\omega(k)^{-1/2}\widehat{\varphi}_\tau(k).$$

From the pull-through formula one concludes

$$a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = -\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\ r(\tau)B_\tau h_{\tau,x}(k)\cdot\left(p+\alpha^{1/2}r(\tau)A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+\alpha^{1/2}r(\tau){A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}}^*\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}, \qquad (8.24)$$

where

$$B_\tau = (H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} - E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} + \omega(k))^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 11.1 (i) and (ii) and using $(N_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&= |\langle (N_{\mathrm{f}2}+1)^{1/2}Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, (N_{\mathrm{f}2}+1)^{-1/2}a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})^2N_{2\epsilon}^{-1}(N_{\mathrm{f}2}+1)^{1/2}(N_{\mathrm{f}2}+1)^{-1/2}N_{2\epsilon}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le \|(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2} a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})^2 N_{2\epsilon}^{-1}(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{1/2}\| \ \|(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{1/2} Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\qquad \times \|(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2} N_{2\epsilon}(N_{4\epsilon}+\varepsilon)^{-1/2}\| \ \|(N_{4\epsilon}+\varepsilon)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le \sqrt{3}\|f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)}/\omega^{\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\|N_{4\epsilon}^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \varepsilon \|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. So, taking $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, one gets

$$|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\ a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \le \sqrt{3}\|f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)}/\omega^{\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|N_{4\epsilon}^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{8.25}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\|N_{4\epsilon}^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\ N_{4\epsilon}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{|k|\ge 1} |k|^{4\epsilon}\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d^3k. \tag{8.26}$$

By (8.24) and Lemma 8.3 below, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|k|\ge 1} |k|^{4\epsilon}\|a(k)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d^3k \\
&\le 8\pi\alpha r(2\tau) C_1(\alpha,\tau)^2 \Bigg[\left(\sqrt{2(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha^{1/2} r(\tau) \right)^2 \int_{|k|\ge 1} |k|^{4\epsilon} \frac{|h_{\tau,0}(k)|^2}{\omega(k)^2} d^3k \\
&\qquad + \frac{2\alpha r(2\tau)}{\pi} \int_{|k|\ge 1} |k|^{4\epsilon}\frac{|h_{\tau,0}(k)|^2}{\omega(k)} d^3k \Bigg].
\end{aligned} \tag{8.27}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|k|\ge 1} |k|^{4\epsilon}\frac{|h_{\tau,0}(k)|^2}{\omega(k)^2} d^3k \le \frac{4\pi}{2(2\pi)^3}\int_1^{\infty} s^{1+4\epsilon}\left(s + \frac{r(2\tau)}{2}s^2 \right)^{-2} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_1^{\infty} s^{4\epsilon-1}\left(1 + \frac{r(2\tau)}{2}s \right)^{-2} ds = \frac{2^{4\epsilon-2}}{\pi^2} r(-8\epsilon\tau) \int_{r(2\tau)/2}^{\infty} s^{4\epsilon}(1+s)^{-2} ds \\
&\le \frac{2^{4\epsilon-2}}{\pi^2} r(-8\epsilon\tau) \left(\int_0^1 s^{4\epsilon-1}(1+s)^{-2} ds + \int_1^{\infty} s^{4\epsilon-1}(1+s)^{-2} ds \right) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2^{4(1-\epsilon)}\epsilon(1-2\epsilon))\pi^2} r(-8\epsilon\tau),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.28}$$

where we note that we assumed $\epsilon < 1/4$. In the same way,

$$\int_{|k|\ge 1} |k|^{4\epsilon}\frac{|h_{\tau,0}(k)|^2}{\omega(k)} d^3k \le \frac{2^{4\epsilon-1}}{(1-16\epsilon^2)\pi^2} r(-2\tau - 8\epsilon\tau), \tag{8.29}$$

where we note that we assumed $\epsilon < 1/4$ again. Thus, by (8.26)-(8.29), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|N_{4\epsilon}^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le \sqrt{2} C_1(\alpha,\tau) \Bigg\{ \left(\sqrt{2(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha^{1/2} r(\tau) \right)^2 \frac{2^{4\epsilon-2}}{\epsilon(1-2\epsilon)\pi}\alpha r(2\tau - 8\epsilon\tau) \\
&\qquad + \frac{2^{4\epsilon+3}}{(1-16\epsilon^2)\pi^2}\alpha^2 r(2\tau - 8\epsilon\tau) \Bigg\}^{1/2} \|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.30}$$

Moreover we have

$$\|f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)}/\omega^{\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 \le \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_1^{\infty} r^{1-2\epsilon}\left(1+\frac{r(2\tau)}{2}r\right)^{-2} dr \le \frac{1}{2^{2\epsilon+1}\epsilon(1-\epsilon)\pi^2} r(-4\tau+4\epsilon\tau). \tag{8.31}$$

Therefore, by (8.25), (8.30) and (8.31), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, a(f_{\mathrm{UV}}^{A(\tau)})^2\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\ &\le \frac{\sqrt{6}C_1(\alpha,\tau)}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)\pi^2}\Bigg\{\left(\sqrt{2(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha^{1/2}r(\tau)\right)^2\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon(1-2\epsilon)}r(-6\tau) \\ &\qquad +\frac{\alpha^2}{(1-16\epsilon^2)\pi}r(-6\tau)\Bigg\}^{1/2}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8.32}$$

Since $A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\Omega = 0$,

$$|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| = 0,$$

and

$$|\langle Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\,,\, A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| = 0.$$

Hence (8.18) follows from (8.23) and (8.32). □

Therefore, by (8.11), (8.12) with (8.13) and (8.14), (8.16) with (8.17), and (8.18) with (8.19), one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Q^{(\tau)}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\le \frac{16\lambda_1^2 C_1(\alpha,\tau)^2}{3\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{2(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})}\alpha^{1/2}r(2\tau-2)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &+\frac{16\lambda_1^2 C_1(\alpha,\tau)}{3\pi}\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\alpha r(4\tau-2)+\sqrt{2}\alpha r(3\tau-2) \\ &+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\Bigg\{\left(\sqrt{2\left(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}\right)}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha^{1/2}r(\tau)\right)^2\frac{\alpha^3}{\epsilon(1-2\epsilon)}r(2\tau-4) \\ &\qquad\qquad +\frac{\alpha^4}{(1-16\epsilon^2)\pi}r(2\tau-4)\Bigg\}^{1/2}\Bigg]\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8.33}$$

Take $\epsilon = 1/5$. Then, for $3/4 < \tau \le 1$ and $|\mathrm{e}| < \min\{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}\,,\, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}\,,\, 1\}$, it is easy to see that

$$C_*(\mathrm{e},\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4\pi}\mathrm{e}^{2(1-\tau)} + \frac{2|\mathrm{e}|}{\pi}\sqrt{1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)}} + \frac{\mathrm{e}^2}{2\pi^2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{4\tau}+3\mathrm{e}^{2\tau}+3\right) \le C_\tau(\mathrm{e}) \le 1/2 \tag{8.34}$$

since

$$1 - E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)} \le 1 + \frac{Z^2}{32\pi^2}. \tag{8.35}$$

Therefore, by (8.10), (8.33), (8.34), and (8.35), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,Q\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \\
&\le 4\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2\frac{16}{6\pi}|\mathrm{e}|^{4\tau-3}\sqrt{2(1+Z^2)}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&+4\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2\frac{8}{3\pi}\Bigg[\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{2}\right)\frac{\mathrm{e}^2}{4\pi} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{25\sqrt{6}}{4}\Bigg\{\left(\sqrt{2(1+Z^2)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi}\right)^2\frac{25|\mathrm{e}|^{4\tau-2}}{3(4\pi)^3}+\frac{25|\mathrm{e}|^{4\tau-2}}{9\pi(4\pi)^3}\Bigg\}^{1/2}\Bigg]\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&\le 8\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2\sqrt{1+Z^2}|\mathrm{e}|^{4\tau-3}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&+8\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2\frac{4}{9}\Bigg[\frac{1}{6}|\mathrm{e}|^2+\frac{75}{4}\Bigg\{\frac{25}{3}\left(\sqrt{2(1+Z^2)}+1\right)^2\frac{|e|}{(4\pi)^3}+\frac{25|e|}{27(4\pi)^3}\Bigg\}^{1/2}\Bigg]\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&\le 8\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2\sqrt{1+Z^2}|\mathrm{e}|^{4\tau-3}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&+8\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2\frac{4}{9}\Bigg[\frac{1}{6}|\mathrm{e}|^2+\frac{75}{4}\Bigg\{\frac{25}{3}\;\frac{1}{12^3}\;2^3\left(1+Z^2\right)|\mathrm{e}|+\frac{25}{27}\;\frac{1}{12^4}|\mathrm{e}|\Bigg\}^{1/2}\Bigg]\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $1 \le \sqrt{2(1+Z^2)}$ to get the last inequality, which implies Lemma 8.1.

At the end of this section, we still have to supply

Lemma 8.3 *For arbitrary $\varrho > 0$, $0 < \kappa, \Lambda < \infty$, and for every α with $\alpha < \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}^2/4\pi$, it holds*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+\omega(k))^{-1}h_{\tau,x}(k)\cdot\left(p+\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;r(\tau)A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;r(\tau)A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\le C_1(\alpha,\tau)\Bigg\{\left(\sqrt{2(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})}+\sqrt{2/\pi}\;\alpha^{1/2}r(\tau)\right)\frac{|h_{\tau,0}(k)|}{\omega(k)} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\sqrt{2/\pi}\;\alpha^{1/2}r(\tau)\frac{|h_{\tau,0}(k)|}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}}\Bigg\}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.36}
$$

in the UV region, $|k| \ge 1$.

Proof: By using $(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)} = \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}$, and applying (3.17) in the case $\epsilon > 1$, it follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|B_\tau h_{\tau,x}(k)\cdot\left(p+\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;r(\tau)A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\right)\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
\le\;\; & |h_{\tau,0}(k)|\omega(k)^{-1}\|\left(p+\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;r(\tau)A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\right)(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\
&\quad\times\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|\;\|(H_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}-E_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
\le\;\; & |h_{\tau,0}(k)|\omega(k)^{-1}\left\{\sqrt{2(1-E_{\mathrm{at}}^{(\tau)})}+\sqrt{2/\pi}\;\alpha^{1/2}r(\tau)\right\}C_1(\alpha,\tau)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by using (3.5) and (3.17) in the case $\epsilon > 1$ again,

$$
\|B_\tau h_{\tau,x}(k)\cdot\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\;r(\tau)A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{*}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\; r(\tau)\|B_\tau^{1/2}\|\;\|B_\tau^{1/2}h_{\tau,x}(k)\cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\
&\le \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\; r(\tau)\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|B_\tau^{1/2}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{1/2}(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}\|\\
&\qquad\qquad \times\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}h_{\tau,x}(k)\cdot A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\
&\le C_1(\alpha,\tau)\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\; r(\tau)\omega(k)^{-1/2}\|(H_0^{(\tau)}+1)^{-1/2}(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{1/2}\|\\
&\qquad\qquad \times|h_{\tau,0}(k)|\;\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}A_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)\,*}\|\;\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\\
&\le C_1(\alpha,\tau)|h_{\tau,0}(k)|\omega(k)^{-1/2}\sqrt{2/\pi}\;\alpha^{1/2}r(\tau)\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}^{(\tau)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we infer (8.36), and the lemma follows. □

9 Proof of the main theorem

We prove Theorem 1.3. By taking $\varepsilon = 3/4$, so $\delta = 1/4$ in Corollaries 6.2 and 7.4, we deduce the following bound on the number photons in the ground state.

Lemma 9.1 *There exist positive constants c_1, c_2 independent of e, κ, and Λ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|{N_{\mathrm{f}}}^{1/2}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2\\
&\le \Bigg[\Big(28C_D(\mathrm{e})+39\Big)^2\\
&\qquad +6C_1(\mathrm{e},0)^2\Big(C_D(\mathrm{e})+2\Big)^2\Big(9+2L(\mathrm{e},Z)^2+9\times 10^2L(\mathrm{e},Z)\Big)\Bigg]\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^2}{4\pi}\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for every e with $|\mathrm{e}| < \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}$ and arbitrary κ,Λ with $0<\kappa<\Lambda<\infty$, where

$$L(\mathrm{e},Z) = \log\left(3+\frac{400\pi}{\mathrm{e}^2Z}\right)$$

and

$$C_D(\mathrm{e}) = (1-C_{\mathrm{UV}}(\mathrm{e}))^{-1/2}\left(\sqrt{2+\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^2Z}{4\pi}\right)^2}+\frac{\sqrt{2}|\mathrm{e}|}{\pi}\right).$$

We recall the overlap estimate from Lemma 8.1: fix τ in $(3/4\,,\,1]$. There exists a positive constant $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}$ such that for every charge e satisfying $|\mathrm{e}| < \min\{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}\,,\,\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}\,,\,1\}$, and for arbitrary κ,Λ with $0<\kappa<\Lambda<\infty$,

$$\langle\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,Q\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le 8\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2 F_{\mathrm{IR}}(\mathrm{e})\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \tag{9.1}$$

where $F_{\mathrm{IR}}(\mathrm{e})$ is defined in (8.4). We introduce the positive constant $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(2)}$ by

$$F_{\mathrm{IR}}(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{Z}{4\pi}\right)^2. \tag{9.2}$$

Then, we can prove Theorem 1.3 as follows:

We consider normalized $\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}$, i.e., $\|\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$. Then, there is a subsequence $\{\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\}_{\kappa,\Lambda}$ such that

$$\text{w-}\lim_{\kappa\to 0}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} \psi_{\kappa\Lambda} = \psi_{\mathrm{g}} \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{9.3}$$

We establish that this ψ_{g} is a ground state for H of (1.9). Because of (4.5), we only have to show that $\psi_{\mathrm{g}} \neq 0$, see [3, Lemma 4.9], which will be obtained by proving that the overlap $\langle \psi_{\mathrm{g}}\,,\,\psi_{\mathrm{at}} \otimes \Omega\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0$ for sufficiently small $|e|$.

We have

$$|\langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} \otimes \Omega\,,\,\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 = \langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,P\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{9.4}$$

and, by Lemma 9.1 and (9.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,P\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,1\otimes P_{\Omega}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,Q\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\geq 1 - \langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,1\otimes N_{\mathrm{f}}\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle \psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\,,\,Q\psi_{\kappa\Lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\geq 1 - c_0\alpha^{1/2} - 8\left(\frac{4\pi}{Z}\right)^2 F_{\mathrm{IR}}(e) =: G_{\mathrm{IR}}(e),
\end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

where c_0 is the constant in the photon number bound from Lemma 9.1. Thus, finally we set

$$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}} = \min\left\{\sqrt{\pi}/c_0\,,\,1\,,\,\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(1)}\,,\,\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}^{(2)}\right\}. \tag{9.6}$$

Then we have

$$|\langle \psi_{\mathrm{at}} \otimes \Omega\,,\,\psi_{\mathrm{g}}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 \geq G_{\mathrm{IR}}(e) > 0 \qquad \text{for } 0 < |e| < \min\{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{IR}}\,,\,\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{UV}}\}. \tag{9.7}$$

10 Outlook and open problems

Theorem 1.3 does not touch the obvious question concerning the uniqueness of the ground state. To prove such a property the natural method is to establish that the semigroup e^{-tH}, $t > 0$, is positivity improving in the Schrödinger representation. While this property holds formally, a complete proof is still under construction.

The existence of the ground state as such provides little information on the binding energy, except for the lower bound of Proposition 4.4. However on a formal level information is available and we discuss it with the hope that rigorous bounds will be supplied in the future (we mention that such bounds are available for the quantized Maxwell field [13], which however diverge with $\Lambda \to \infty$). Energies are in units of the bare mass $m(= mc^2)$. By definition the (positive) binding energy is

$$-E_{\mathrm{bin}} = m(E_{\mathrm{g}} - E_0), \tag{10.1}$$

where E_{g} is the ground state energy of H and E_0 the infimum of the spectrum of H with $Z = 0$. We regard e as a small parameter. It enters in the coupling to the Bose field through

eA and in the strength e^2Z of the Coulomb potential. The latter is kept fixed and our strategy is to expand E_g in the former up to order e^2. Since $E_\mathrm{at} = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^4)$, thereby E_g is determined to order e^6. This means E_0 has to be expanded also to order e^6. Taking the difference in (10.1) all terms cancel except for

$$E^{(6)} = -\frac{\mathrm{e}^6}{6}\langle\Omega, A_0\cdot A_0\frac{1}{H_1}(P_\mathrm{f}\cdot A_0 + A_0^*\cdot P_\mathrm{f})\frac{1}{H_1}(P_\mathrm{f}\cdot A_0 + A_0^*\cdot P_\mathrm{f})\frac{1}{H_1}A_0^*\cdot A_0^*\Omega\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad (10.2)$$

where $P_\mathrm{f} = \int ka^*(k)a(k)d^3k$ is the total momentum of the bosons, A_0 is A of (1.10) at $x = 0$, and $H_1 = H_\mathrm{f} + \frac{1}{2}P_\mathrm{f}^2$. The net result is

$$\begin{aligned} -E_\mathrm{bin} \quad = \quad & m\Bigg(E_\mathrm{at} - e^2(2\pi)^{-3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(2\omega)^{-1}\beta^2\langle k\cdot p\psi_\mathrm{at}, \\ & e^{ik\cdot x}(H_\mathrm{at} - E_\mathrm{at} + \omega)^{-1}e^{-ik\cdot x}k\cdot p\psi_\mathrm{at}\rangle_{L^2}d^3k + E^{(6)} + \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^8)\Bigg). \end{aligned} \qquad (10.3)$$

We now use that

$$e^{ik\cdot x}(H_\mathrm{at} - E_\mathrm{at} + \omega)^{-1}e^{-ik\cdot x} = (H_\mathrm{at} - E_\mathrm{at} + \omega + \frac{1}{2}k^2 - k\cdot p)^{-1}. \qquad (10.4)$$

Expanding the resolvent in $k\cdot p$, each order picks up an factor e^2 through taken the matrix element with ψ_at. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -E_\mathrm{bin} \quad = \quad & m\Bigg(E_\mathrm{at} - e^2\frac{1}{3}(2\pi)^{-3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(2\omega)^{-1}\beta^2k^2\langle p\psi_\mathrm{at}, \\ & (H_\mathrm{at} - E_\mathrm{at} + \omega + \frac{1}{2}k^2)^{-1}\cdot p\psi_\mathrm{at}\rangle_{L^2}d^3k + E^{(6)} + \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^8)\Bigg). \end{aligned} \qquad (10.5)$$

Note that the integrand is bounded by $\omega^{-2}\beta^2k^2$ which is integrable.

Physically, energies are calibrated in the effective mass m_eff, rather than m. m_eff is defined in the following way. For $Z = 0$ the Hamiltonian H commutes with $p + P_\mathrm{f} = P$. Thus H at fixed total momentum is given by

$$H_P = \frac{1}{2}\left(P - P_\mathrm{f}\right)^2 + e\left(P - P_\mathrm{f}\right)\cdot A_0 + eA_0^*\cdot\left(P - P_\mathrm{f}\right) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^2}{2}\left(A_0^{*2} + 2A_0^*A_0 + A_0^2\right) \qquad (10.6)$$

as acting on $\mathcal{F}$. Because of infrared divergence H_P is expected to have a ground state only for $P = 0$. We set

$$E_P = \inf\sigma(H_P).$$

By the results in [8] E_P is rotation invariant and

$$E_P = E_0 + \frac{1}{2m_\mathrm{eff}}P^2 + \mathcal{O}(P^4) \qquad (10.7)$$

for small P. Thus from (10.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{m_\mathrm{eff}} &= 1 - \frac{1}{3}\Delta E_P\mid_{P=0} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{3}\langle\psi_0\,,\,(P_\mathrm{f} - eA_0)\cdot(H_0 - E_0)^{-1}(P_\mathrm{f} - eA_0)\psi_0\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}, \end{aligned} \qquad (10.8)$$

by second order perturbation theory in P at $P=0$. Here ψ_0 is the ground state of H_0, $H_0\psi_0 = E_0\psi_0$. The inverse operator in (10.8) is well defined, since $\langle \psi_0\,,\,(P_{\mathrm{f}} - eA_0)\psi_0\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = (\nabla_P E_P)_{P=0} = 0$. If $\omega(k) = (k^2 + m_{\mathrm{b}}^2)^{1/2}$ with $m_{\mathrm{b}} > 0$, then E_P is an isolated eigenvalue [8] and (10.8) follows by standard perturbation theory [14]. If $\kappa = 0$ and $\Lambda < \infty$, Chen [7] proves that E_P is C^2 close to $P = 0$. Expanding m/m_{eff} from (10.8) in e, one obtains

$$\frac{m_{\mathrm{eff}}}{m} = 1 + \mathrm{e}^2 \frac{2}{3}(2\pi)^{-3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(2\omega)^{-1}k^2\beta^3 d^3k + \mathcal{O}(e^4), \tag{10.9}$$

which suggests that the mass renormalization in the Nelson model is finite. If $m_{\mathrm{b}} > 0$, (10.9) would be the first two terms of a convergent power series.

Writing $-E_{\mathrm{bin}} = m_{\mathrm{eff}}(m/m_{\mathrm{eff}})(E_{\mathrm{g}} - E_0)$ and inserting (10.5) and (10.9) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &-E_{\mathrm{bin}} \\ &= m_{\mathrm{eff}}\Bigg(E_{\mathrm{at}} + e^2\frac{1}{3}(2\pi)^{-3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(2\omega)^{-1}\beta^3 k^2 \\ &\qquad\qquad \langle p\psi_{\mathrm{at}}\,,\,(H_{\mathrm{at}} - E_{\mathrm{at}} + \omega + \frac{1}{2}k^2)^{-1}(H_{\mathrm{at}} - E_{\mathrm{at}})\cdot p\psi_{\mathrm{at}}\rangle_{L^2} d^3k + E^{(6)} \\ &\quad +\mathcal{O}(e^8)\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{10.10}$$

Thus to order e^2 the large k behavior in the matrix element of (10.10) is precisely canceled by m/m_{eff} and the numerical correction to E_{bin} is reduced considerably. For the hydrogen atom the matrix element in (10.10) is not readily available. Approximating the operator ratio by 1, one obtains

$$E_{\mathrm{bin}} \cong -E_{\mathrm{at}} m_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{e}^2}{6\pi^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(e^8). \tag{10.11}$$

The one-particle theory predicts $-E_{\mathrm{at}}m_{\mathrm{eff}}$ as binding energy, which is slightly reduced through the field fluctuations.

11 Appendix A

Let $\mathbb{R}^3_{\mathrm{IR}} = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, |k| < 1\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^3_{\mathrm{UV}} = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3_{\mathrm{IR}}$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we split $f = f_{\mathrm{IR}} + f_{\mathrm{UV}}$ defined by $f_{\mathrm{IR}} = f\chi_{|k|<1}$ and $f_{\mathrm{UV}} = f\chi_{|k|\geq 1}$.

$H_{\mathrm{f}1}$ and $H_{\mathrm{f}2}$ are defined in (8.20), and $N_{\mathrm{f}1}$ and $N_{\mathrm{f}2}$ are in (8.21). N_ρ is defined in (8.22). We note that $N_0 = N_{\mathrm{f}2}$ and $N_1 = H_{\mathrm{f}2}$ again. Then, the following lemma is a special case of [6, (3.1.21)] though it has concrete coefficient.

Lemma 11.1

(i) Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_{\mathrm{UV}})$. Then, for $\epsilon \geq 0$,

$$\|(N_{\mathrm{f}2}+1)^{1/2}N_{2\epsilon}^{-1}a^*(g)a^*(f)(N_{\mathrm{f}2}+1)^{-1/2}\| \leq \sqrt{3}\|f_{\mathrm{UV}}/\omega^\epsilon\|_{L^2}\|g_{\mathrm{UV}}/\omega^\epsilon\|_{L^2}, \tag{11.1}$$

provided that $f/\omega^\epsilon, g/\omega^\epsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_{\mathrm{UV}})$.

(ii) For $\epsilon_i \geq 0$ *and* $t_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, *with* $t_1\epsilon_1 = t_2\epsilon_2 + t_3\epsilon_3$,

$$N_{\epsilon_1}^{t_1} \leq N_{\epsilon_2}^{t_2} N_{\epsilon_3}^{t_3}.$$

Proof: For (i) we have only to follow the proof of [6, (3.1.21)] and for (ii) that of [23, (2.32)]. □

In the following lemma, (i) is standard. In (ii), which is derived from Lemma 11.1, we develop a device to decouple infrared and ultraviolet problems.

Lemma 11.2

(i) For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $\omega^{-1/2}f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\|(H_\mathrm{f}+1)^{-1/2}a^*(f)\| \leq \|f/\sqrt{\omega}\|_{L^2}$.

(ii) For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* f_IR, g_IR, $\omega^{-1/2}f_\mathrm{IR}$, $\omega^{-1/2}g_\mathrm{IR}$, $\omega^{-1/4}f_\mathrm{UV}$, $\omega^{-1/4}g_\mathrm{UV} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\|(H_\mathrm{f}+1)^{-1/2}a(f)a(g)(H_\mathrm{f}+1)^{-1/2}\|_\mathcal{H} \leq \Xi_\epsilon(f,g),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi(f,g) \;=\;& \left(\|\omega^{-1/2}g_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2} + \|g_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\omega^{-1/2}f_\mathrm{UV}\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \left(\|\omega^{-1/2}f_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2} + \|f_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\omega^{-1/2}g_\mathrm{UV}\|_{L^2} \\ &+\|\omega^{-1/2}f_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2}\|\omega^{-1/2}g_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{3}\|\omega^{-1/4}f_\mathrm{UV}\|_{L^2}\|\omega^{-1/4}g_\mathrm{UV}\|_{L^2} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\omega^{-1/2}g_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2}\|f_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2} + \|\omega^{-1/2}f_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2}\|g_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{11.2}$$

Proof: (i) is a well-known fact. We will prove (ii), which uses the division of the momentum space into IR and UV regions. We first the following easy equalities and inequalities:

$$H_\mathrm{f} = H_{\mathrm{f}1} + H_{\mathrm{f}2} \geq H_{\mathrm{f}j}, \qquad N_\mathrm{f} = N_{\mathrm{f}1} + N_{\mathrm{f}2} \geq N_{\mathrm{f}j}$$

for $j = 1, 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}a(f)a(g) &= a(f_\mathrm{IR})a(g_\mathrm{IR}) + a(f_\mathrm{IR})a(g_\mathrm{UV}) + a(f_\mathrm{UV})a(g_\mathrm{IR}) + a(f_\mathrm{UV})a(g_\mathrm{UV}) \\ &= a(f_\mathrm{IR})a(g_\mathrm{IR}) + a(f_\mathrm{IR})a(g_\mathrm{UV}) + a(g_\mathrm{IR})a(f_\mathrm{UV}) + a(f_\mathrm{UV})a(g_\mathrm{UV}).\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the above four terms separately. We have easily

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(H_{\mathrm{f}1}+1)^{-1/2}a(f_\mathrm{IR})a(g_\mathrm{IR})(H_{\mathrm{f}1}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\ &\leq \|a^*(f_\mathrm{IR})(H_{\mathrm{f}1}+1)^{-1/2}\|\|a(g_\mathrm{IR})(H_{\mathrm{f}1}+1)^{-1/2}\| \\ &\leq \left(\|\omega^{-1/2}f_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2} + \|f_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\omega^{-1/2}g_\mathrm{IR}\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\|(H_{\mathrm{f1}}+1)^{-1/2}a(f_{\mathrm{IR}})a(g_{\mathrm{UV}})(H_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}\| \le \left(\|\omega^{-1/2}f_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}+\|f_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\omega^{-1/2}g_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2},$$
$$\|(H_{\mathrm{f1}}+1)^{-1/2}a(g_{\mathrm{IR}})a(f_{\mathrm{UV}})(H_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}\| \le \left(\|\omega^{-1/2}g_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}+\|g_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\omega^{-1/2}f_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}a(f)a(g)(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\\ &\le \left(\|\omega^{-1/2}f_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}+\|f_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}\right)\left(\|\omega^{-1/2}g_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}+\|\omega^{-1/2}g_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}\right)\\ &+\left(\|\omega^{-1/2}g_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}+\|g_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\omega^{-1/2}f_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}+\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}a(f_{\mathrm{UV}})a(g_{\mathrm{UV}})(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\end{aligned}$$

by using that $H_{\mathrm{f}j} \le H_{\mathrm{f}}$ $(j=1,2)$.

From Lemma 11.1 (ii) we have

$$N_{1/2}^2 \le (N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)(H_{\mathrm{f2}}+1), \tag{11.3}$$

where

$$N_{1/2} := \int_{|k|\ge 1}\sqrt{\omega(k)}a^*(k)a(k)d^3k. \tag{11.4}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 11.1 (i), we obtain that

$$\|(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}a(f_{\mathrm{UV}})a(g_{\mathrm{UV}})N_{1/2}^{-1}(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{1/2}\| \le \sqrt{3}\|\omega^{-1/4}f_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}\|\omega^{-1/4}g_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}. \tag{11.5}$$

By (11.3) and (11.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}a(f_{\mathrm{UV}})a(g_{\mathrm{UV}})(H_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\\ &=\|(H_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}a^*(g_{\mathrm{UV}})a^*(f_{\mathrm{UV}})(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\\ &\le\|(H_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}N_{1/2}(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\ \|(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{1/2}N_{1/2}^{-1}a^*(g_{\mathrm{UV}})a^*(f_{\mathrm{UV}})(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\\ &\le\|(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{-1/2}a(f_{\mathrm{UV}})a(g_{\mathrm{UV}})N_{1/2}^{-1}(N_{\mathrm{f2}}+1)^{1/2}\|\\ &\le\sqrt{3}\|\omega^{-1/4}f_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}\|\omega^{-1/4}g_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2},\end{aligned} \tag{11.6}$$

(see also Lemma 3.3(iii) of [1]), where $N_{\mathrm{f2}} \le H_{\mathrm{f2}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^3_{\mathrm{UV}}$ is used. So, we have

$$\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}a(f_{\mathrm{UV}})a(g_{\mathrm{UV}})(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\| \le \sqrt{3}\|\omega^{-1/4}f_{\mathrm{UV}}\|\|\omega^{-1/4}g_{\mathrm{UV}}\|$$

with the inequality $N_{\mathrm{f2}} \le H_{\mathrm{f2}} \le H_{\mathrm{f}}$, since $1 \le \omega(k)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3_{\mathrm{UV}}$. Thus, finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}a(f)a(g)(H_{\mathrm{f}}+1)^{-1/2}\|\\ &\le \left(\|\omega^{-1/2}f_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}+\|f_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}\right)\left(\|\omega^{-1/2}g_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}+\|\omega^{-1/2}g_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}\right)\\ &+\left(\|\omega^{-1/2}g_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}+\|g_{\mathrm{IR}}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\omega^{-1/2}f_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}+\sqrt{3}\|\omega^{-1/4}f_{\mathrm{UV}}\|_{L^2}\|\omega^{-1/4}g_{\mathrm{UV}}\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $a(f)$ and $a(g)$ commute, taking their arithmetic mean results in (ii). □

The inequality (11.6) is proved here in the same way as in [20], [6, (3.1.21)] or [1, Corollary 2.7]. We also use some well known estimates for massive bosons, i.e., $\omega(k)=\sqrt{|k|^2+m_{\mathrm{b}}^2}$ with $m_{\mathrm{b}}>0$, cf. [6, Lemma 3.1.3 and (3.1.21)] and [1, Lemma 3.3(iii)].

12 Appendix B

Let A and B be self-adjoint operators acting in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 12.1 *Suppose that A and B are (strongly) commutable in the sense of the definition on p.271 in [21]. If $\varphi \in D(A^2) \cap D(B^2)$, then $\varphi \in D(AB) \cap D(BA)$.*

Proof: We rewrite A and B with the spectral decomposition as

$$A = \int \xi dE_A(\xi), \qquad A = \int \eta dE_B(\eta),$$

respectively. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \xi^2\eta^2 d\|E_A \otimes E_B(\xi,\eta)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \equiv \int \xi^2\eta^2 d\|E_A(\xi)E_B(\eta)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \\
\leq \; & \frac{1}{2}\int \xi^4 d\|E_A(\xi)E_B(\eta)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \eta^4 d\|E_A(\xi)E_B(\eta)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \\
= \; & \frac{1}{2}\int \xi^4 d\|E_B(\eta)E_A(\xi)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \eta^4 d\|E_A(\xi)E_B(\eta)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \\
\leq \; & \frac{1}{2}\int \xi^4 d\|E_A(\xi)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \eta^4 d\|E_B(\eta)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|A^2\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 + \|B^2\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2\right) < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which means that $\varphi \in D(AB)$. In the same way, one has $\varphi \in D(BA)$. □

Acknowledgement

M.Hirokawa and F.Hiroshima thank for the hospitality at Technische Universität München. M.Hirokawa is indebted to his colleagues of the seminar on constructive field theory and renormalization group organized by H. Ezawa at Gakushuin University. He also thanks Griesemer for explaining him that Lemma 5.1 implies Lemma 5.2(ii), and as a consequence Proposition 5.3 (ii), (iii), and exponential decay in Proposition 5.4. His work in Japan is supported by JSPS, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 13640215, and his work in Germany by DAAD. F. Hiroshima's work is supported by the Graduiertenkolleg "Mathematik in ihrer Wechselbeziehung zur Physik" of the LMU Munich and by JSPS, Grant-in-Aid 13740106 for Encouragement of Young Scientists.

References

[1] Z. Ammari, Asymptotic completeness for a renormalized nonrelativistic Hamiltonian in quantum field theory: The Nelson model, *Math. Phys., Anal. Geom.* **3** (2000), 217–285.

[2] A. Arai, Ground state of the massless Nelson model without infrared cutoff in a non-Fock representation, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13** (2001), 1075–1094.

[3] A. Arai and M. Hirokawa, On the existence and uniqueness of ground states of a generalized spin-boson model, *J. Funct. Anal.* **151** (1997), 455–503.

[4] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, and I. M. Sigal, Quantum electrodynamics of confined non-relativistic particles, *Adv. Math.* **137** (1998), 299–395.

[5] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, and I. M. Sigal, Spectral analysis for systems of atoms and molecules coupled to the quantized radiation field, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **207** (1999), 249–290.

[6] J. Cannon and A. Jaffe, Lorentz covariance of the $\lambda(\phi^4)_2$ quantum field theory, Commun. Math. Phys. **17** (1970), 261–321.

[7] T. Chen, Operator-theoretic infrared renormalization and construction dressed 1-particle states, (preprint, 2001, mp_ arc 01-310).

[8] J. Fröhlich, Existence of dressed electron states in a class of persistent models, *Fortschr. Phys.* **22** (1974), 159–198.

[9] M. Griesemer, E. H. Lieb, and M. Loss, Ground states in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics, *Invent. Math.* **145** (2001), 557–595.

[10] E. P. Gross, Small oscillation theory of the interaction of a particle and scalar field, *Phys. Rev.* **100** (1955) 1571–1578.

[11] E. P. Gross, Particle-like solutions in field theory, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **19** (1962) 219–233.

[12] H. Grotch, Lamb shift in nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics, *Am. J. Phys.* **49** (1981), 48–51.

[13] C. Hainzl, One non-relativistic particle coupled to a photon field, arXiv: math-ph/0202001, 2002.

[14] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

[15] T. D. Lee, F. E. Low, and D. Pines, The motion of slow electrons in a polar crystal, *Phys. Rev.* **90** (1953), 297–302.

[16] T. D. Lee and D. Pines, The motion of slow electrons in polar crystals, *Phys. Rev.* **88** (1952) 960–961.

[17] T. D. Lee and D. Pines, Interaction of a nonrelativistic particle with a scalar field with application to slow electrons in polar crystals, *Phys. Rev.* **92** (1953) 883–889.

[18] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, A bound on binding energies and mass renormalization in models of quantum electrodynamics, *J. Stat. Phys.* **108** (2002) 1057–1069.

[19] J. Lőrinczi, R. A. Minlos and H. Spohn, The infrared behaviour in Nelson's model of a quantum particle coupled to a massless scalar field, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **3** (2002), 269–295.

[20] E. Nelson, Interaction of nonrelativistic particles with a quantized scalar field, *J. Math. Phys.* **5** (1964), 1190–1197.

[21] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I*, Academic Press, 1980.

[22] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II*, Academic Press, 1980.

[23] L. Rosen, The $(\phi^{2n})_2$ quantum field theory: Higher order estimates, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **24** (1971), 417–457.

[24] H. Spohn, Ground state of quantum particle coupled to a scalar boson field, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **44** (1998), 9–16.

[25] S. Tomonaga, On the effect of the field reactions on the interaction of mesotrons and nuclear particles. III, *Prog. Theoret. Phys.* **2** (1947), 6–24.